

AGREEMENT

Between

WESTFORD SCHOOL COMMITTEE

And



WESTFORD EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

UNIT B

September 1, 2017 – August 31, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREAMBLE 1

ARTICLE I - SCOPE/RECOGNITION 1

ARTICLE II - RIGHTS OF COMMITTEE 2

ARTICLE III - RIGHTS WITH OR WITHOUT PROFESSIONAL STATUS..... 2

ARTICLE V – ARBITRATION..... 5

ARTICLE VI - SICK LEAVE..... 6

ARTICLE VIII - SABBATICAL LEAVE 7

ARTICLE IX – GENERAL..... 8

ARTICLE X - PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT..... 10

ARTICLE XI - POLICY STATEMENTS..... 11

ARTICLE XII – COMPENSATION..... 12

ARTICLE XIII – EVALUATIONS..... 14

ARTICLE XIV - SPECIAL NEEDS 15

ARTICLE XV - REDUCTION IN FORCE/FALL BACK/RECALL 15

ARTICLE XVI – TRANSFER..... 18

ARTICLE XVIII - SICK LEAVE BANK..... 22

ARTICLE XIX - CAREER CHANGE LEAVE..... 23

ARTICLE XX - NON-RESIDENT TUITION..... 24

ARTICLE XXI – DURATION..... 25

ATTACHMENT A..... 26

ATTACHMENT B SALARY SCHEDULE 2017-2018 27

ATTACHMENT B SALARY SCHEDULE 2018-2019 28

ATTACHMENT B SALARY SCHEDULE 2019-2020 29

LONGEVITY 30

SUMMER PAY 30

CATEGORIES OF STIPENDS 30

COCURRICULAR STIPEND PROCESS 30

ATHLETIC STIPEND PROCESS 32

GENERAL STIPEND PROCESS 33

ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE OF MISC STIPENDS 34

ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE FOR SPORTS STIPENDS	
WESTFORD ACADEMY AND MIDDLE SCHOOLS	35
ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE FOR SPORTS STIPENDS	36
ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE FOR ADVISOR / COORDINATOR STIPENDS	
WESTFORD ACADEMY	37
ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE FOR CLUBS / ORGANIZATIONS	
WESTFORD ACADEMY	38
ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE FOR CLUBS / ORGANIZATIONS	
WESTFORD ACADEMY(CON'T).....	39
ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE FOR ADVISOR/COORDINATOR STIPENDS	
MIDDLE SCHOOLS	39
ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE FOR CLUBS/ORGANIZATIONS	
MIDDLE SCHOOLS CONT.....	40
ATTACHMENT C SCHEDULE FOR CLUBS/ORGANIZATIONS	
STIPENDS ELEMENTARY LEVEL	41
ATTACHMENT D PROPOSAL FOR NEW COCURRICULAR	
CLUB/ADVISOR STIPENDS	42
ATTACHMENT E CO--CURRICULAR / ADVISOR PLANNING FORM	43
ATTACHMENT F CO-CURRICULAR ATTENDANCE FORM	44

PREAMBLE

Recognizing that our prime purpose is to provide education of the highest possible quality for the children of Westford we, the undersigned parties to this Contract, declare that:

- a. Under the laws of Massachusetts, the School Committee, hereafter called the Committee, elected by the citizens of Westford, has final responsibility for establishing the educational policies of the public schools of Westford. Unit B employees are responsible for reading and abiding by School Committee policy. The Committee will make School Committee policies and regulations readily available to all Unit B employees on the school website.
- b. The Superintendent of Schools of Westford (hereinafter referred to as the Superintendent) has responsibility for carrying out the policies so established.
- c. Fulfillment of these respective responsibilities can be facilitated and supported by consultations and free exchanges of views and information between the Committee, the Superintendent, and the Unit B employees in the formulation and application of policies relating to wages, hours, and other conditions of employment. The fact that certain conditions are reduced to writing does not alleviate the responsibility of either party to meet with the other to discuss and consult on appropriate matters not originally covered in this Agreement.
- d. Pursuant to law here applicable, neither party in any negotiations shall have any control over the selection of the representatives of the other party and each party may select its own representatives, and while no final agreement shall be executed without ratification by the Association and the Committee, the parties mutually pledge that their representatives will be clothed with all necessary power and authority to make proposals, consider proposals, and reach compromises in the course of negotiations.

ARTICLE I - SCOPE/RECOGNITION

The Committee recognizes the Westford Education Association as the sole and exclusive collective bargaining representative for Unit B employees of the Westford Public Schools who are evaluators of performance of Unit A members. Unit B includes the following positions: Grade K-12 Curriculum Coordinators, Grade K-5 Curriculum Coordinators, Grade 6-12 Curriculum Coordinators, Grade 6-12 Guidance Facilitator and Student Support Team Leaders. Unit B excludes positions ineligible by law (Superintendent and Principals) and expressly excludes the Assistant Superintendent, Director of Pupil Personnel, Director of School Finance, Assistant Principals, Athletic Director, Director of Information Technology and Library Media Services, Network Administrator, Director of Student Information Services, and those employed on an interim basis in a position covered by this Agreement.

ARTICLE II - RIGHTS OF COMMITTEE

The Committee is a public body established under, and with the power provided by, the statutes of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. As the elected representatives of the citizens of Westford, the Committee is charged with final responsibility for the quality of education in, and the efficient and economical operation of, the Westford Public Schools. It is acknowledged that the Committee has the final responsibility of establishing the education policies of the Public Schools of Westford insofar as the law allows.

Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to derogate or impair the powers and responsibilities of the Committee under the statutes of the Commonwealth or the rules and regulations of any agencies of the Commonwealth. Except as expressly modified herein, said rights and powers include, but in no way are construed as limited to, the subjects mentioned in the table of contents of this Agreement.

ARTICLE III - RIGHTS WITH OR WITHOUT PROFESSIONAL STATUS

The Superintendent may dismiss a Unit B employee with professional status for just cause after providing a written notice of intent to dismiss with an explanation of the grounds for the dismissal, and, a reasonable opportunity within fifteen (15) days after receiving such notice to review the decision with the Superintendent at which meeting such employee may present information pertaining to the basis for the decision and is entitled to have counsel at the meeting. A Unit B employee with professional status may seek review of a dismissal at arbitration under the procedures of Article V.

In the event of:

- Dismissal of a Unit B employee without professional status who has more than ninety (90) days of service; or
- Failure to renew a contract of a Unit B employee without professional status; or
- Dismissal from or the failure to renew the appointment of a Unit B employee to an extra duty enumerated in the salary schedule;

The employee shall have the right to meet and discuss the matter with the Superintendent and a right to have counsel at that discussion if the employee so desires, in instances when the employee has not received an indicated receipt of a prior unsatisfactory evaluation. However, it is recognized that the decision of the Superintendent after any such discussion is final and shall not be challenged through the grievance/arbitration provisions of this Agreement.

A Unit A employee with professional status who obtains a Unit B position will retain professional status upon transfer to Unit B.

ARTICLE IV – GRIEVANCES

Section 1. Definitions

For the purposes of this Agreement, a grievance shall be defined as: Any complaint by an employee in the unit covered by this Agreement that (1) he/she has been subjected to a violation, inequitable application or misinterpretation of a specific provision of this Agreement, or (2) he/she has been subjected to an arbitrary or capricious act contrary to established practice.

An "aggrieved person" is the person or persons making the claim. A "party in interest" is the person or persons making the claim and any person who might be required to take action or against whom action might be taken in order to resolve the claim.

Time Limits. All time limits herein, except in Section 6, shall consist of school days from September 1 through May 31. June 1 through August 31 may be referred to as calendar days. It is understood that any grievances pending at the conclusion of this contract will remain operative in the subsequent contract period unless settled in negotiations.

Section 2. The purpose of this procedure is to secure, at the lowest possible administrative level, equitable solutions to the problems which may from time to time arise affecting the welfare or working conditions of Unit B employees. Both parties agree that these proceedings will be kept as informal and confidential as may be appropriate at any level of this procedure.

Section 3. All documents, communications, and records dealing with the processing of a grievance will be filed separately from the personnel files of the participants.

Section 4. Any party in interest may be represented at all stages of the grievance procedure by a person of his/her own choosing except that he/she may not be represented by a representative or an officer of any organization other than the Association or MTA-NEA officials. When a Unit B employee is not represented by the Association, the Association shall have the right to be present and to state its views at all stages of the grievance procedure except at Step 1.

Section 5. Since it is important that grievances be processed as rapidly as possible, the number of days indicated at each step should be considered as maximum and every effort should be made to expedite the process. The time limits specified may be extended only by prior mutual agreement.

Section 6. If, at the end of the thirty (30) calendar days next following the occurrences of any grievance, (or when the Unit B employee should reasonably have had knowledge of such occurrence) the grievance shall not have been presented in writing at Step 1, set forth below, the grievance shall be deemed to have been waived; and any grievance in course under such procedure shall also be deemed to have been waived if the action required to present it to the next step in the procedure shall not have been taken within the time specified therefore in this Article.

Section 7. Subject to the foregoing, all grievances must be processed in accordance with the steps, time limits, and conditions set forth below:

Step 1: The grievance is presented during non-work hours to his/her Supervisor who shall give his/her answer in writing within five (5) days. If the grievance is not satisfactorily settled at this step, it may;

Step 2: Be reduced to writing by the employee within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the Supervisor's answer and be presented to the Superintendent of Schools. The Superintendent or his/her designee and employee, if so elects a Unit B Representative, or his/her designee, shall meet to discuss the grievance within ten (10) days after receipt of the written grievance. Grievances reaching the Superintendent's level will be summarized and forwarded to the Committee for their information. The Superintendent shall elect whether this discussion shall take place during working hours or not. The Superintendent, or his/her designated representative, shall give his/her written answer to the grievance within seven (7) days following the conclusion of the meeting. If the grievance is not satisfactorily settled at this step, it may;

Step 3: Be appealed in writing within five (5) days after receipt of the written answer of the Superintendent by the employee to the School Committee. The School Committee, and/or its designated representatives and the employee, if so elects, counsel and/or an authorized representative of the Association, shall meet to discuss the grievance as promptly as possible, normally within fifteen (15) days, at a time mutually agreed upon between the Chair of the School Committee and the President of the Westford Education Association. If any person or persons are to represent the employee at this meeting, the School Committee will be informed in writing prior to three (3) days before the meeting of the names and titles of such person or persons. The School Committee or its designated representative shall elect whether this discussion shall take place during working hours or not. The School Committee will give its written answer to the grievance within ten (10) days following the conclusion of the meeting. If no satisfactory settlement of the grievance is made, it may;

Step 4: Be appealed to arbitration by written notice of such intention to appeal within ten (10) days after the receipt of the written answer under Step 3. This appeal to arbitration shall be in accordance with the procedure and conditions set forth in Article V (Arbitration).

Section 8. A grievance not initiated within the time specified shall be deemed waived. Failure of the Association to appeal a decision within the time limit specified will mean that the grievance shall be considered settled on the basis of the decision last made and shall not be eligible for further appeal. Failure of the Committee to answer an appeal within the time limit specified shall mean that the appeal may be taken to the next step immediately. The above limitations may be waived by mutual agreement of the parties.

Section 9. A complaint by an employee covered by this Agreement that he/she has been subjected to an unfair or discriminatory act contrary to established policy and practice may be grieved in accordance with the procedure and subject to the conditions outlined above, provided, however, that such a grievance may not be appealed to arbitration.

ARTICLE V – ARBITRATION

In the event either party elects to submit a grievance to arbitration, the arbitrator shall be selected according to, and shall be governed by the following procedures:

Section 1. The arbitrator is to be mutually selected by the Committee and the Association. If the Committee and the Association cannot agree within seven (7) days after written notice of intention to arbitrate has been received by either party, then the party demanding arbitration shall within five (5) days, thereafter, upon written notice to the other, request the American Arbitration Association to provide a panel of arbitrators, said arbitrator then to be selected under the provisions of the Voluntary Labor Arbitration Rules. The Association and the Committee may jointly agree to submit a grievance to arbitration before the Massachusetts Board of Conciliation and Arbitration.

Section 2. Each party shall bear the expense of its representatives, participants, witnesses and for the preparation and representation of its own case. The fees and expenses (if any) of the Arbitrator and the American Arbitration Association, or Board of Conciliation and Arbitration, shall be shared equally by the parties. In no event shall any present or future member of the Committee have any personal obligation for any payment under any provision of this Agreement.

Section 3. The arbitrator shall hold hearings promptly and, unless the time shall be extended by mutual agreement, shall issue his/her award not later than thirty (30) days from the date of the closing of the hearings, or, if oral hearings have been waived, from the date of submission to him/her of the final statement and briefs. The arbitrator's award shall set forth his/her findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions. The arbitrator shall be without power or authority to make any award which requires the commission of an act prohibited by law or which is inconsistent with any provision of this contract. The award of the arbitrator shall be submitted to the Committee and the Association, and, subject to law, shall be final and binding upon the Committee, and the Association and the aggrieved employee.

Section 4. The arbitrator shall be bound by the procedure set forth in the Voluntary Labor Arbitration Rules as now in effect or hereafter established by the American Arbitration Association, or by the applicable Rules and Regulations of the Board of Conciliation and Arbitration, in cases arbitrated before that agency. He/she shall arrive at his/her decision solely upon the facts, evidence and contentions as presented by the parties through the arbitration proceedings. The arbitrator shall have no power to add to, subtract from, or modify any of the terms of this Agreement, and in reaching his/her decision shall interpret this Agreement in accordance with the commonly accepted meaning of the words used herein and the principle that there are no restrictions intended upon the rights and authority of the Committee other than those expressly set forth herein. Subject to the foregoing, the decision of the arbitrator shall be final and binding upon the parties. The parties may, by mutual agreement, submit more than one pending grievance to the same arbitrator.

ARTICLE VI - SICK LEAVE

Unit B employees with professional status shall receive fifteen (15) days per contract year up to an accumulated total of 150 days. Unit B employees with professional status who have accumulated the maximum number of sick days at the start of any school year, shall have the fifteen (15) days held in escrow for their use during the year with the understanding that these sick days will be used before the accumulated sick days and that these sick days cannot be accumulated beyond one hundred-fifty (150) days prior to the start of the next school year.

Unit B employees without professional status shall receive ten (10) days per year during the first three years of employment without accumulation from year to year with one exception. Upon receipt of professional status all unused sick days accumulated during the three non-professional status years will become available to the employee. Should a non-professional status Unit B employee exhaust their allotment of sick days in one of the first three years, upon notification to the Superintendent of Schools, the Unit B employee may apply prorated sick days accumulated up to the date of notification to absences due to prolonged illness or disability when additional days are necessary to make a full recovery. The number of days eligible for use may not exceed the total number of sick days the employee had when the illness or disability began. If this option is exercised by the employee any remaining unused sick days accumulated during the three non-professional status years will become available upon attainment of professional status.

A Unit A employee with professional status who obtains a Unit B position will be allowed to maintain any sick leave accumulated prior to the transfer and will accrue additional sick leave, as set forth above. Unit B employees without professional status will be permitted to use only the amount of sick leave accumulated during employment in the Unit B position. Prior accumulated sick leave will become available for use only if employee obtains professional status.

All employees eligible for sick leave shall be credited with their applicable sick leave allocation on the first school day of a new school year, provided that the employee is not on leave status and reports to work on the first day of a new school year. Employees who do not report to work on the first school day of a new school year shall receive their applicable sick leave allocation on the first day that the employee reports to work, or if applicable, on the first day an employee returns to work in a new school year following the expiration of an approved leave of absence.

Up to seven (7) days of sick leave per year may be used for family related emergencies involving a mother, father, husband, wife, children and any person when the care of such persons is the primary responsibility of the employee. Each new parent may apply unused sick leave of up to five (5) consecutive days following the birth or

adoption of a child; such time to run concurrently with sick leave available for child birth through other provisions of this contract.

The Superintendent may require documentation from a regularly licensed and practicing physician in the event of any illness resulting in five (5) or more consecutive work days. The Superintendent may also require medical documentation when circumstances warrant.

ARTICLE VII - PERSONAL, FUNERAL AND RELIGIOUS LEAVE

Section 1. It is recognized by all that absences by professional employees interrupt the educational process and must therefore be held to a minimum.

Section 2. In each school year, professional employees may obtain up to two (2) days leave with pay for imperative personal business or legal obligations, which cannot effectively be conducted outside of school hours. Another day of such leave may be granted to an employee for the celebration of a holiday of substantial significance to his or her religion. Another day may be granted for religious purposes at the discretion of the Superintendent upon receipt of a written request at least five (5) days in advance. These days may not be accumulated. A Unit B employee will not be required to specify reasons for a personal day, except as set forth below.

No request for personal leave will be submitted so as to extend a holiday or vacation period without a statement of reasons. Such requests may be granted at the sole discretion of the Superintendent. The Committee fosters a climate of respect and acceptance for all its employees, strives to create an enriched and secure environment and wishes for its staff to recognize this diversity through education, information and celebration.

Section 3. In the event of the death of an employees' immediate family member (mother, father, brother, sister, spouse, child, grandparent, mother-in-law, father-in-law, step-parent or any individual who resides with the employee), a maximum of five (5) regularly scheduled school days for funeral and bereavement leave shall be given to the employee without loss of pay, and with no accumulation. In the event of the death of an employees' close relative who does not reside with the employee, the day of the funeral service will be allowed for the purpose of attending such service without the loss of the regular days pay, and with no accumulation.

Section 4. The Committee will release up to two representatives of Unit B for one (1) professional day, without loss of pay, to allow the representative to attend the annual meeting of the Massachusetts Teachers Association.

ARTICLE VIII - SABBATICAL LEAVE

Section 1. The Committee, upon the recommendation of the Superintendent of Schools, may grant a leave of absence for a sabbatical leave or teacher exchange for the purpose of participating in a local, national or foreign nation exchange program for teaching and/or researching best teaching practices to any Unit B employee having served seven (7) or more years, which would increase his professional ability. Such leave shall be for a period not

exceeding one (1) year at 1/2 pay, or 1/2 year at full pay, provided that prior to the granting of such leave, said Unit B employee shall enter into a written agreement with the Committee that upon termination of such leave he/she will return to serve in the public schools of Westford for a period of at least two (2) years and that in default of completing such service, he/she will refund to the Town an amount equal to such proportion of salary received by him/her while on leave as the amount of service agreed to be rendered. It is recognized that the Committee has the right to determine the number of such leaves, if any, that shall be granted.

Section 2. Applications must be filed with the Superintendent no later than November 1 of the school year preceding the school year for which the leave is requested. In the event a number of applications are received, the following factors will be taken into account in assigning leave:

- Educational value of the proposed projects to the school system.
- Length of service in the Westford Public School System.
- Representation of areas in the system (i.e., High, Middle School, Elementary, Special).
- Priority of application (date).
- The availability of a qualified replacement for the person requesting leave.

Section 3. During the course of the sabbatical leave, the Superintendent shall receive written reports at the end of each semester from those who are matriculating in a full time program, and bi-monthly reports from others.

Section 4. A person returning from a leave under this Article, unless there has been a reduction in force that would have affected him/her, will be returned to the same or a similar position to which he/she was assigned at the commencement of the leave with all benefits (including seniority) that he/she had accrued at the commencement of the leave.

Section 5. No more than two (2) Unit B employees may be on sabbatical leave at any one time.

Section 6. It is understood that the recipient of a sabbatical leave does not engage in employment for pay while on sabbatical unless written permission is received from the Superintendent.

Section 7. Sabbatical leaves are granted subject to the terms set forth in the Sabbatical Leave Agreement currently in effect.

ARTICLE IX – GENERAL

Section 1. Hours: The Director of Guidance 6-12 and the Curriculum Coordinators' work year shall consist of one hundred eighty-five (185) school days plus ten (10) additional days that may be used for but not limited to orientation of new teachers, professional development, curriculum development and/or any such duties as assigned by the employee's supervisor. Effective July 1, 2015, the Director of Guidance 6-12 and the Curriculum Coordinators will be required to attend two (2) additional days for Leadership Summer Institute which will be compensated at a per diem rate based on one hundred ninety-five (195) days (1/195th) which will be inclusive of the employee's base wage and the annual stipend. Additional days required to be scheduled beyond the above mentioned days must be approved in advance by the Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum and Instruction.

These additional days will be compensated at a per diem rate based on one hundred ninety five (195) days (1/195th) which will be inclusive of the employee's base wage and the annual stipend.

The Student Support Team Leaders' work year shall consist of one-hundred eighty five (185) school days plus ten (10) additional days, five (5) of which will be for the week prior to the opening of the school year and five (5) of which will be for the week after the close of the school year. An additional five (5) days may be used for but not limited to orientation of new teachers, professional development, curriculum development and/or any such duties as assigned by the employee's supervisor. Effective July 1, 2015, the Student Support Team Leaders will be required to attend two (2) additional days for Leadership Summer Institute which will be compensated at a per diem rate based on one hundred ninety-five (195) days (1/195th) which will be inclusive of the employee's base wage and the annual stipend. Additional days required to be scheduled to be worked beyond the above mentioned one hundred ninety-five (195) days must be approved in advance by the Director of Pupil Services. These additional days will be compensated at a per diem rate based on one hundred ninety five (195) days (1/195th) which will be inclusive of the employee's base wage and the annual stipend.

The school department will continue this allocation of days unless additional time is necessary to meet state requirements.

Section 2. CORI: All employees covered by the Unit B contract will abide by the Committee's Policy P4120, Criminal Offender Record Information (CORI). The results of a CORI check shall not diminish or alter the due process or other rights of any employee under the contract or state law.

Section 3. Payroll: The Committee hereby accepts the provisions of Section 17C of Chapter 180 of the General Laws of Massachusetts and, in accordance herewith, shall certify to the Treasurer of Westford all payroll deductions for the payment of dues to the Association duly authorized by employees covered by the Contract.

Section 4. Agency Fee: All employees who are covered by the Unit B Contract shall be required, as a condition of their employment, to pay an agency service fee, unless they become members of the Association, within thirty (30) days of the beginning of the school year.

Said fee will be in an amount determined in accordance with all state and federal laws and regulations, and shall reflect the costs of collective bargaining, contract administration, and other permissible charges. No money collected from the Agency Fee shall be applied to political lobbying by the Westford Education Association, Massachusetts Teachers Association, or National Education Association.

The collection of the fee shall be the sole responsibility of the Association. The Committee shall not be responsible for the implementation, collection, or enforcement of the fee with the exception that the superintendent's office will supply, on request by the Association, a confirmation of employment status for individuals.

Personnel on one-year appointments shall not be required to pay the Agency Fee.

The sole remedy available to the Association to enforce payment of the Agency Fee shall be to proceed to court for collection of the fee. The Committee will not be required to take any action regarding the employment status of an individual who refuses to pay the Agency Fee.

Personnel on salaried leave shall be required to pay an annual agency fee proportional to the salary they are receiving. Personnel on unsalaried leave shall not be required to pay an Agency Fee.

Section 5. Safety Committee: In order to continue the Committee's and the Administration's commitment to workplace safety, a School Safety Committee will be formed which will include representatives from the Administration, the Association and other employee groups. The Safety Committee will meet regularly when any group member deems necessary or immediately in the event of an emergency. The goal of the Safety Committee will be to review the workplace health and safety issues.

The Safety Committee may make recommendations for action to the Administration or the School Committee. Such recommendations shall be based on majority vote with the administration having the same number of votes as the represented employee groups combined.

The parties to this Agreement hereby express their joint intention, through the provisions of this Section, to continue their harmonious relations; to promote mutual cooperation and understanding.

Section 6. Curriculum:

Employees will teach the Westford Public School curriculum as presented to the Committee and use the core materials provided by the Westford Public Schools as approved by the Superintendent. Teachers will be provided with IEPs for students and their support schedule by the end of the first full week of school. Also, teachers will receive their specialist's schedules one week prior to the start of the school year.

Section 7. Training Schedule:

The Committee will make every effort to schedule employee training during professional days, during the school day or during summer workshops. If needed and requested, educators shall be provided with professional development time, training and resources necessary to learn current and emerging communication methods. Between the opening day of the school year and October 15th, each Principal will provide teachers with one hour, beyond the school day, to conduct mandated training. The designated time will be at the discretion of the Principal.

ARTICLE X - PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Goal Statement: The goal of Professional Development is to provide learning opportunities and support the vision and core values of the Westford Public Schools to inspire staff to be continuous learners. Educational best practices, research, and assessment data will be considered in the development of diverse learning opportunities. The ultimate goal of professional development is to improve effectiveness which will lead to increased student achievement.

Unit B employees are required to attend the professional development days offered by the Westford Public Schools and other meetings as required by the Supervisor.

Unit B employees are eligible for professional development reimbursement for course tuition, workshops, conferences, seminars, and other development activities, along with related costs as approved by the Superintendent or his/her designee.

A pool of \$10,000 will be established each year of the contract for course reimbursement. The minimum guaranteed amount of \$1000 will be dispersed to those employees who are eligible. A maximum of \$3,000 per Unit B member will be distributed at the end of the year to those qualified under the guidelines of the contract. A pool of \$4,000 will be established each year of the contract for conferences. The minimum guaranteed amount of \$400 will be dispersed at the end of the year to those employees who qualify. In the event there are funds remaining in the Course Reimbursement account at the end of the school year, remaining funds will be distributed proportionately to the cost incurred by the Unit B member.

Upon completion of any workshop or conference, a proof of attendance and payment must be submitted to the Curriculum Office within ninety (90) calendar days. This process applies to any reimbursed request. Any judgment to approve an extension beyond the ninety (90) calendar days shall not be made arbitrarily or in a capricious manner but shall be determined with an explanation by the Superintendent or his/her designee. Online Graduate Courses will only be reimbursed and recognized for column changes when they meet the following criteria:

1. The online course has a specific start and end date with a minimum of fifteen (15) hours of work for one (1) graduate credit.
2. The online course is not designed as a self-paced “module” course with no specified beginning and ending date
3. The online course is offered by an accredited college or university and the online course offers graduate credit
4. Courses that do not meet the above required criteria may still qualify for workshop, audit and/or conference reimbursement.

ARTICLE XI - POLICY STATEMENTS

The following are policy statements of the Committee which both the Committee and the Association have agreed upon. These statements are meant to clarify certain areas where problems may arise.

Section 1. Personnel Files - A copy of any material that is put in a Central Office personnel file must first be sent to the Unit B employee to make him/her aware of the situation. The employee will have a right to submit a written, dated response or comment to such material within thirty (30) days after he/she receives the copy, and such response shall be included in his/her file.

Section 2. Access to File – All Unit B employees have the right to review the contents of their Central Office personnel file during the normal business day. Excluded from this review would be any "confidential documents" received prior to the date of hire.

Section 3. Job Openings - All job openings will be announced internally at the same time as outside the system. The Committee may fulfill its duty under this paragraph by posting job openings on the district's web site.

Section 4. Travel Expenses - Unit B employees shall be reimbursed for reasonable travel expenses if such travel is authorized. When an employee uses his/her own vehicle for such travel, reimbursement shall be at the rate currently in effect for IRS purposes rounded down to the nearest full cent; provided, however, that when that rate is changed by the IRS, the Committee shall have up to sixty (60) days to implement that change and the change will become effective as of the date of implementation.

Section 5. Sick Leave/Step Placement Information - Employees may obtain information on their accumulated sick leave and step placement by contacting the central office during its usual business hours.

ARTICLE XII – COMPENSATION

Section 1. Salary Schedule Policy

Unit B employees will be placed on the Salary Schedule in accordance with Attachment B “Salary Schedules” and advance according to the Schedule. Unit B employees will receive the pay structure for differentials in accordance with Section 12.3 “Differentials.”

Promotion from the Bachelors column to the Masters column and from the Masters column to the Masters plus 30 column and step increment will be authorized twice annually, October 1 and February 1. Evidence of completion of an approved program or courses must be on file with the Superintendent prior to the stipulated dates.

Only graduate courses in graduate degree programs at accredited colleges and universities which receive prior approval of the Superintendent or his/her designee before the first day of class will be considered for Bachelors to the Master’s column transfer. Requests will be submitted and decisions made in a timely manner. Distance learning courses that meet Department of Education Guidelines will be the standard by which course approval will be determined. Correspondence courses will not be considered for reimbursement or movement on the salary scale. Graduate courses at accredited colleges and universities which receive prior approval of the Superintendent or his/her designee and "University of Westford" courses, may be used for transfer from the Masters to Masters plus 30 column. "University of Westford" courses will be offered at no cost to participants. Unit B employees on the Masters plus 30 column can accumulate up to thirty (30) "University of Westford" credits and apply them to one of the following: (i) a one-time lump sum payment of \$4,000; (ii) a tax-deferred annuity of \$4,000; (iii) a non-tax deferred annuity of \$4,000; (iv) a payment of \$800 per year over five (5) years. Participation in "University of Westford" courses is voluntary. Correspondence and television graduate courses that are not directly related to the person's current academic assignment may be denied approval by the Superintendent.

Any Unit B employee, who is on maximum step, may be denied a salary increase by the Superintendent if the employee has received written notice by January 15 from the Supervisor of the intention to recommend denial of the increase. This notice shall list the reason(s) for the possible recommendation and contain recommendations for correcting the problem(s) listed. If the Supervisor believes the problem(s) were not corrected by May 15, the recommendation may be made to the Superintendent who will decide on or before June 30 whether to deny any increase scheduled for the next school year.

The Superintendent may place a newly hired Unit B employee on any step of the Salary Schedule based on related work experience. This paragraph will not be construed as requiring related work credit toward schedule placement in any case.

Unit B employees will receive an annual statement of their salary based upon their work year as defined in Article IX, Section 1 with an adjustment if the work year is extended upon written approval by the Supervisor.

While it is recognized that persons who are employed on an interim basis are not covered by this Agreement, a person who is employed as an interim employee for more than ninety (90) days in a school year and is hired into a Unit B position for the following school year will have interim service credited toward advancement on the Salary Schedule. This Agreement defines the term “interim employees” and sets out Unit B recall rights for interim positions.

Unit B employees appointed to a coaching position or a co-curricular activity will receive the applicable stipend(s) set out in Attachment “C” of this agreement. Any change to these stipends will also apply to Unit B employees who hold these positions.

Section 2. Salary Schedules See Attachment “B”

- On the first day of the 2017-2018 work year, Unit B members will move a full step on the Salary Schedule, up to a maximum of Step 14.
- On the first day of the 2018-2019 work year, Unit B members will move a full step on the Salary Schedule, up to a maximum of Step 14.
- On the first day of the 2019-2020 work year, Unit B members will move a full step on the Salary Schedule, up to a maximum of Step 14.

Section 3. Differentials

- Differentials apply to the following Unit B positions:

	<u>2017-2018</u>	<u>2018-2019</u>	<u>2019-2020</u>
	10% Increase	1 ½ % Increase	1 ½ % Increase
<u>Curriculum Coordinators</u>	\$7219	\$7328	\$7438
<u>Grade 6-12 Guidance Facilitator</u>	\$7219	\$7328	\$7438
<u>Student Support Team Leaders</u>	\$7219	\$7328	\$7438

Section 4. Additional Compensation

Effective July 1, 2018, Unit B members required to teach more than the full-time equivalent of one (1) class per academic year shall be compensated in increments of one-fifth (1/5th) of the base salary for each additional full-time class assignment within the same academic year.

Coordinators will receive an additional \$200 to run Task Committees.

Section 5. Compensation and Unused Sick Leave

In recognition of dedicated service to the children of Westford, any professional employee covered by this Unit B Agreement who has served for twenty (20) years in the Westford School System (or thirty (30) years in total), the last fifteen (15) years which have been consecutive in the Westford School System) shall receive thirty-five dollars (\$35.00) for each accumulated sick day remaining unused on the date of retirement up to a total of one hundred-fifteen (150) days. Unit B employees who give a binding notice of their intention to retire prior to the start of the school year after which they intend to retire will receive compensation under this section on July 15th following their retirement or on the following January 15th at the employee's option (e.g., an employee who retires on June 30, 2014 will receive compensation on July 15, 2014 or January 15, 2015 if a binding notice was given prior to the start of the 2014-2015 school year). Unit B employees who retire after giving a non-binding notice of retirement and/or without giving notice prior to the school year in which they intend to retire will receive compensation under this section on July 15th of the calendar year following the effective date of retirement or on the following January 15th at the employee's option (e.g. an employee who retires on January 15, 2014 will receive compensation on July 15, 2014 or January 15, 2015).

A binding notice of retirement may not be revoked except in the case of an unexpected, emergency situation which causes a substantial change in the retiring employee's financial circumstances. The decision on revocability of a binding notice will be at the discretion of the Superintendent.

The annual salary shall be paid based on twenty-six (26) equal payments. The first twenty-two (22) payments shall be paid on a bi-weekly basis beginning in September. Four (4) bi-weekly payments shall be paid in one lump sum, in June.

ARTICLE XIII – EVALUATIONS

A Unit B Task Committee will be formed to review the evaluation system to evaluate Unit B members. This contract will include the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education's Educator Evaluation instrument. To be bargained will be the rubrics used to evaluate Unit B performance and the

development of a timeline as it pertains to implementation of the DESE instrument to Unit B. Any discussion conducted in this regard will include administrative and Unit B representation.

Any changes to the evaluation instrument are subject to ratification by the School Committee and the Unit B bargaining group.

ARTICLE XIV - SPECIAL NEEDS

The Committee and the Association recognize the important responsibility of the educator to all children under his or her care, and agree that Unit B employees shall make every reasonable effort to cooperate in the difficult and crucial task of evaluating children with special needs, that Unit B employees are an integral part in the evaluation of special needs, and that participation by Unit B employees on team evaluation teams is essential. The principal shall have overall responsibility for all team evaluations in his/her building. The chair may be delegated by the principal, and he/she may also delegate to special services personnel the writing of the general and specific objectives.

Efforts will be made to schedule team evaluations during the regular workday.

ARTICLE XV - REDUCTION IN FORCE/FALL BACK/RECALL

The School Committee retains the right to reduce the number of Unit B employees after notification in writing to the President of the Westford Education Association. If the reassignment of personnel due to a reduction in force is deemed advisable by the Superintendent, his/her judgment in this regard shall be final and binding and not subject to the grievance or arbitration provisions of this Agreement. The Committee will inform and discuss with Unit B its intent to add or eliminate positions from Unit B. Nothing in this Article shall be deemed to limit or impair the power and right of the School Committee to reorganize or eliminate positions.

Seniority for purposes of this Article is the length of continuous employment as a professional employee in the Westford Public Schools measured from the first day for which compensation was received as a professional employee, including time spent on paid and unpaid leaves of absence authorized by this Agreement or by the Committee. An employee will lose his/her seniority for the following reasons:

- a. Termination of professional employment in the Westford Public Schools.
- b. Discharge, or layoff and expiration of recall rights.
- c. An unauthorized leave of absence in excess of ten (10) continuous school days.
- d. Time lost as a result of suspension will not constitute a break in seniority, but will be subtracted from it.

Employees transferring from Unit A into Unit B as a result of the ratification of this Unit B Agreement, or employees who are subsequently appointed to Unit B positions shall retain their seniority date in effect in Unit A at the time of transfer to Unit B. Employees displaced from Unit B as a result of a reduction in force and who fall back into a Unit A position are credited with time of service in Unit B for seniority status.

A list specifying the seniority of Unit B employees shall be forwarded to the President of the Association within ninety (90) days following the execution of this Agreement. An updated list shall be supplied annually thereafter. Unit B employees subject to a reduction in force can bump within Unit B based upon certification and seniority. If two (2) or more Unit B employees have the same seniority, the Superintendent shall have the discretion to determine the order of layoff and recall of those employees, and his/her judgment shall be final and binding and not subject to the grievance or arbitration provisions of this Agreement.

The Committee and the Association agree that Unit B employees displaced from Unit B as a result of a reduction in force will be eligible to bump into Unit A as long as the original date of hire for service of said employee was prior to July 1, 2015 or the Unit B employee was originally a Unit A employee with the Westford Public Schools. Nothing in this Article shall deny a Unit B employee the professional teacher status to which she/he was entitled as a teacher and the employee shall retain that status if eligible to fall back into a position as an employee in Unit A.

In the event a reduction in force in the number of Unit B positions is deemed advisable by the Committee, the affected Unit B employee(s) shall have the right to bump the following person(s) occupying Unit A positions: 1) First, Non professional status person(s) will be considered; 2) Next, the person(s) having less seniority in an area in which the Unit B employee is certified. Unit B employees must have certifications on file in the personnel department as of September 30 of the school year in which the reduction is announced, and for year one of this contract, thirty (30) days after ratification. In the event that the Superintendent deems that reassignment to a position other than the least senior is advisable, written rationale will be given to the employee and the Association. Such assignment shall be final and binding and not subject to the grievance to arbitration provisions of this Agreement.

Unit B employees who are affected by a reduction in staff should normally be notified no later than May 15 of the school year preceding the subsequent school year in which the reduction will take place. It is recognized, however, that such notification of the intended reduction may be given after May 15 and that reductions may occur during a school year. In such cases, the Unit B employees shall receive notice of the intended layoff at least thirty (30) days, exclusive of customary vacation periods, before the effective date of the layoff.

If the Unit B employee is not eligible for a teaching position in Unit A under the provisions of this Article, the Unit B employee shall have recall rights for two (2) years.

If the Unit B employee is laid off between September 1 and May 1, the recall period shall begin on the last day worked. If the Unit B employee is laid off on or after May 1, the recall period shall begin on September 1. Unit B employees on recall shall be notified by the Superintendent by certified letter, return receipt requested and sent to the last known address of record, of any open positions in the system which they may be certified to fill and in order of seniority. The recall rights are for a period of two (2) years from the effective date of layoff. Failure to accept an offer of employment for a position within seven (7) calendar days from receipt of notice shall be a forfeit of all recall rights.

The employee will be assigned the salary in effect for the Unit A position into which the Unit B employee falls back commensurate with the number of years of service. Employees rehired after a layoff and recall under this Agreement shall be assigned the salary in effect at the time of recall and the employee will regain seniority status and benefits accumulated as of the day of layoff.

“Layoffs shall be conducted among professional status teachers within targeted disciplines based on a teachers’ performance and the best interest of the students. A targeted discipline for the purpose of this section, is the area of certification in which the bargaining unit employees are teaching and for which a lay off is contemplated. A teacher job performance and the best interest of the students shall be defined as the teachers past summative overall evaluation ratings as compared to other teachers past summative overall evaluations ratings in the discipline targeted to be reduced. Summative ratings of Proficient and Exemplary are considered equal and valued as the highest.

The number of summative evaluations compared will include all those evaluations written for teachers during the time equal to the most recently hired professional status teacher in the targeted discipline, but not to exceed four (4) years and excluding the most recent summative evaluation that is to be determined for the year of the reduction

Nothing in this section or Chapter 71, Section 41 shall affect the right of a superintendent to lay off teachers pursuant to reductions in force or reorganization resulting from declining enrollment or other budgetary reasons. No teacher with professional teacher status shall be laid off pursuant to a reduction in force or reorganization if there is a teacher without such status for whose position the covered employee is currently certified or if there is a less qualified teacher with such status holding the same or similar position for which the covered employee is currently certified. No teacher with such status shall be displaced in accordance with the terms of a collective bargaining agreement or otherwise by a more senior teacher with such status unless the more senior teacher is currently certified pursuant to section 38G and is at least as qualified for the position as the junior teacher holding the position. The criteria for determining a qualified teacher under this paragraph shall be subject to the collective

bargaining provisions of chapter 150E; provided, however, that any such collectively bargained for qualifications shall include, as the primary factors, indicators of job performance, including overall ratings resulting from comprehensive evaluations conducted consistent with Chapter 71, Section 38, and the best interests of the students in the school or district; and provided further, that for the purposes of this paragraph, no distinction shall be made between the overall performance ratings established by the board of elementary and secondary education finding that the teacher has met or exceeded acceptable performance standards developed under said section 38 and that are defined by the board as proficient and exemplary.

The school committee and the collective bargaining representative may negotiate for seniority or length of service only as a tie-breaker in personnel actions under this paragraph among teachers whose qualifications are no different using the qualifications collectively bargained for in accordance with this paragraph.

It is the intent of the Association and School Committee to maintain consistency of the benefits in Articles VI, Sick Leave, VII, Personal, Funeral and Religious Leave, VIII, Sabbatical Leave, X, Professional Development, XII, Compensation, XV, Reduction in Force, XVI, Leaves, XVII, Sick Bank, XVIII Career Leave and XIX Non-Resident Tuition of this Agreement with the comparable benefits in the Unit A contract because of the potential for transfer and/or fall back of employees between Unit B and Unit A.

To the extent permitted by G.L., c. 32B and the Town By-Laws, laid off employees may continue group health and life insurance coverage during the recall period as provided to employees by reimbursing the Town Treasurer for the cost of the premiums. Failure to timely forward premium payment or declining a recall to employment will terminate this option.

This Article does not apply to a Unit B employee “without professional status.” In view of the fact that a reduction in force may affect a Unit B employee without professional status during a school year or after reappointment for the following year, in such cases, the employee without professional status shall receive notice of the intended layoff at least fifteen (15) days, exclusive of customary vacation periods, before the effective date of the layoff.

ARTICLE XVI – TRANSFER

If Unit A employees are appointed to Unit B positions in the future, then their transfer to Unit B will become effective on the date of appointment as stated in writing by the Superintendent.

Unit B employees are eligible to apply for open Unit A positions for which they are qualified.

ARTICLE XVII – LEAVES

Section 1. Massachusetts Maternity Leave Act: (MMLA):

The Committee will abide by the Massachusetts Maternity Leave Act (MMLA): Upon the receipt of at least two (2) weeks' written notice to the Superintendent of Schools of the employees anticipated date of departure and intention to return under the Massachusetts Maternity Leave Act (MMLA) a member of this unit who is pregnant or intending to adopt a child and has been employed for at least ninety (90) calendar days shall have the option of taking either:

- a. A leave of up to and no more than eight (8) work weeks based on the approved school calendar at the time of birth or the date of adoption, but not substantially earlier or later.
- b. A leave specifically limited to the actual period of preparing for and participating in childbirth and caring for a newborn, or adopting and caring for a newly adopted child under 18 or a child under 23 with mental or physical disabilities.

The employee must notify the Superintendent if she intends to take leave under the MMLA through a formal written and dated letter with reference to the Article and Section of this Agreement, including the estimated dates of departure and return.

An employee who takes a leave under the MMLA may request to apply their earned sick leave benefits for five (5) consecutive days following the birth or adoption of the child, plus any period of the teacher's continuing disability due to childbirth.

A person returning from a leave under this Article shall have a right to return to the employee's previous or similar position unless the teacher would have been non-renewed or reduced in force.

Nothing in this section of the bargaining agreement shall be construed to affect the Committee's requirement to abide by the MMLA, nor is it intended to expand or contract the rights and benefits of the act.

Section 2. Family Medical Leave Act and Military Family Leave:

The Committee will abide by the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA). An employee with at least twelve (12) months employment with family medical issues that renders him/ her unable to perform functions of their job must notify the Superintendent of their intent to take a medical leave through a formal written and dated letter with reference to the Article and Section of this Agreement, including the estimated dates of departure and return. The Superintendent of Schools will designate in writing that the leave is to be taken under the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA).

Basic Leave Entitlement:

FMLA requires covered employers to provide up to twelve (12) weeks of unpaid, job protected leave to eligible employees for the following reasons:

- For incapacity due to pregnancy, prenatal medical care or child birth;
- To care for the employee’s child after birth, or placement for adoption or foster care;
- To care for the employees, spouse, son or daughter, or parent, who has a serious health condition; or
- For a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the employee's job.

Military Family Leave Entitlements:

Eligible employees with a spouse, son, daughter, or parent on active duty or call to active duty status in the National Guard or Reserves in support of a contingency operation may use their twelve (12) week leave entitlement to address certain qualifying exigencies. Qualifying exigencies may include attending certain military events, arranging for alternative childcare, addressing certain financial and legal arrangements, attending certain counseling sessions, and attending post-deployment reintegration briefings. FMLA also includes a special leave entitlement that permits eligible employees to take up to twenty-six (26) weeks of leave to care for a covered service member during a single twelve (12) month period. A covered service member is a current member of the Armed Forces, including a member of the National Guard or Reserves, who has a serious injury or illness incurred in the line of duty on active duty that may render the service member medically unfit to perform his or her duties for which the service member is undergoing medical treatment, recuperation, or therapy; or is in outpatient status; or is on the temporary disability retired list.

Benefits and Protections:

During FMLA leave, the employer must maintain the employee’s health coverage under any “group health plan” on the same terms as if the employee had continued to work. Upon return from FMLA leave, most employees must be restored to their original or equivalent positions with the equivalent pay, benefits, and other employment terms.

Use of FMLA leave cannot result in the loss of any employment benefit that accrued prior to the start of an employee’s leave.

Eligibility Requirements:

Employees are eligible if they have worked for a covered employer for at least one (1) year, for 1,250 hours over the previous twelve (12) months, and if at least fifty (50) employees are employed by the employer within seventy-five (75) miles.

Definition of Serious Health Conditions:

A serious health condition is an illness, injury, impairment, or physical or mental condition that involves either an overnight stay in a medical care facility, or continuing treatment by a health care provider for a condition that either prevents the qualified family member from participating in school or other daily activities. Subject to certain conditions, the continuing treatment requirement may be met by a period of incapacity of more than three (3) consecutive calendar days combined with at least two (2) visits to a health care provider or one (1) visit and a regimen of continuing treatment, or incapacity due to pregnancy, or incapacity due to a chronic condition. Other conditions may meet the definition of continuing treatment.

Use of Leave:

The leave year shall be based on a twelve (12) month basis with only one FMLA leave allowed in a twelve (12) month period. The twelve month period will be calculated forward from the commencement date of the requested FMLA leave. An employee does not need to use this leave entitlement in one block. Leave can be taken intermittently or on a reduced leave schedule when medically necessary. Employees must make reasonable efforts to schedule leave for planned medical treatment so as not to unduly disrupt the employer's operations. Leave due to qualifying exigencies may also be taken on an intermittent basis.

Substitution of Paid Leave for Unpaid Leave:

The use of eligible sick time during an employees' FMLA will be at the discretion of the employee. Employees may choose or employers may require use of accrued paid leave while taking FMLA leave. In order to use paid leave for FMLA leave, employees must comply with the employer's normal paid leave policies.

Employee Responsibilities:

Employees must provide thirty (30) days advance notice of the need to take FMLA leave when the need is foreseeable. When thirty (30) days notice is not possible, the employee must provide notice as soon as practicable and generally must comply with an employer's normal call-in procedures. A physician's note may be requested to verify the medical condition.

Employer Responsibilities:

Covered employers must inform employees requesting leave whether they are eligible under FMLA. If they are, the notice must specify any additional information required as well as the employees' rights and responsibilities. If they are not eligible, the employer must provide a reason for the ineligibility.

Covered employers must inform employees if leave will be designated as FMLA-protected and the amount of leave counted against the employee's leave entitlement. If the employer determines that the leave is not FMLA-protected, the employer must notify the employee.

When the reason for the Family Medical Leave overlaps with the Massachusetts Medical Leave Act, the leave will run concurrently. MMLA leave shall not be combined with FMLA leave for more than twelve (12) work weeks as established in the approved school calendar.

Nothing in this section of the bargaining agreement shall be construed to affect the Committee's or employee's requirement to abide by the FMLA, nor is it intended to expand or contract the rights and benefits of the act. If additional leave is required beyond that allowed by the FMLA or MMLA, the Unit B employee with professional status will apply for such leave under Section 17.3 of this Article (Long Term Leave).

Section 3. Long Term Leave:

While it is recognized that except as specifically required by this Agreement, the Superintendent is not required to grant long term leaves of absence. A Unit B employee with professional status may be granted a long term leave

of up to one year without pay. If granted, the employee shall notify the Superintendent in writing of the intent to return and the date of return by March 15 of the leave year. A Unit B employee with professional status returning from leave under this Article will be placed on the next step of the schedule if the employee had been actively employed by the Westford School System for more than ninety-one (91) days in the school year during which the leave commenced. Upon return, all sick leave that was accumulated on the date the leave began shall be restored. A person returning from a leave under this Article, unless there has been a reduction in force that would have affected the employee, will be returned to the same or a similar position to which the employee was assigned at the commencement of the leave with all benefits (including seniority) that he/she had accrued at the commencement of the leave.

ARTICLE XVIII - SICK LEAVE BANK

Section 1. The Sick Leave Bank was established on September 1, 1982 for use by “eligible members” whose sick leave accumulation is exhausted through prolonged illness or disability and who require additional leave to make full recovery from his/her extended illness or disability. Every Unit B employee will have one sick day removed upon their fourth year of service with Westford Public Schools. The removed day will automatically enroll the Unit B employee into the WEA Sick Bank. In order to opt out of the sick bank, employee must submit a written request by June 30th of their third year to the WPS Human Resources Department and the WEA.

Section 2. The Sick Leave Bank shall be administered by the WPS Human Resources Department and the Superintendent’s office, and managed by a Sick Leave Bank Committee consisting of three (3) members designated by the Committee to serve at its discretion and a Unit B member designated to serve when a request for time from the sick bank is made by a Unit B member. The Human Resources Department will be responsible for reviewing all requests and verifying sick time data. The Superintendent will review applications for approval. Applicants may appeal the Superintendent’s decision to the Sick Bank Committee. The decision of this Committee with respect to eligibility entitlement shall be final and binding and not subject to appeal or arbitration. The Sick Leave Bank Committee shall be responsible for developing procedures for processing applications. These procedures will be designed to respect members’ reasonable expectations of confidentiality.

Section 3. The "eligible members" of the Sick Leave Bank shall be limited to full-time personnel covered by this bargaining agreement who have professional status and who are active participants in the bank.

Section 4. In any year when the bank has less than 3,000 accumulated days, each "eligible member" shall have his/her sick leave accumulation reduced by one (1) day and all of those days shall be deposited in the bank to be utilized by other bank members. In those years when the bank has 3,000 or more accumulated days, only those members who have contributed less than fourteen (14) days to the bank during their employment in Westford shall have their sick leave accumulation reduced by one (1) day and those days shall be deposited in the Bank to be utilized by other bank Members.

Section 5. Application for benefits shall be made in writing to the Sick Leave Bank Committee accompanied by a doctor's certificate as to the need for and anticipated extent of extended recovery time from the illness or disability.

Section 6. Applications for benefits may be made prior to the employee's exhaustion of his/her own personal sick leave to expedite benefits, but drawings upon the bank will not actually commence until after the employee's own sick leave days are exhausted and adequate medical notification has been provided, and in no event unless the prolonged illness has exceeded twenty (20) school days.

Section 7. The initial grant of sick leave by the Sick Leave Bank Committee to an "eligible employee" shall not exceed twenty (20) days, unless a clear need for additional days has been demonstrated in which case the initial grant may be up to fifty (50) days. Upon completion of the initial grant, additional entitlement may be extended upon demonstration of need by the applicant, and these days may extend into a second school year. In no case may the total number of days granted to the employee exceed the total number of sick days that the employee had when the illness began or the prior related illness reoccurred.

Section 8. Subject to the foregoing requirements, the WEA, Human Resources and the Superintendent will determine the eligibility of the use of the bank and the amount of leave to be granted. The decision of this Committee with respect to eligibility entitlement shall be final and binding and not subject to appeal or arbitration.

Section 9. Unused days in the Sick Leave Bank shall be carried over into the bank that is established for the next academic year.

Section 10. Each time the Sick Leave Bank is exhausted during the applicable bank year all "eligible members" shall have their sick leave accumulation reduced by another day and that day shall be deposited in the bank.

Section 11. Eligible members of the Sick Leave Bank may also apply for benefits related to their participation in a treatment program for weight control, food disorders, stress, alcohol, and other chemical abuse, including smoking. Applications for such benefits must include a physician's recommendation. If the application for benefits is approved, the School Department will pay the costs of the program that are not covered by the employee's insurance and/or the spouse's insurance. The number of days remaining in the Sick Leave Bank will be reduced to reflect the payment for such treatment program. The reduction will be calculated by dividing the cost of the program to the School Department by the applicable daily rate of substitute pay. The result, rounded to the nearest whole number, will be deducted from the Bank.

ARTICLE XIX - CAREER CHANGE LEAVE

Section 1. Subject to the conditions set forth in this Article, a leave of absence will be granted to Unit B employees to explore the possibility of a career change.

Section 2. To be eligible, an employee must have six (6) or more years of seniority as defined in Article XV of this Agreement at the time the written application for the leave is filed with the Committee. The application must

be filed no later than the March 15 preceding the date on which the leave is to begin. Self-employment is considered to be employment for purposes of this Article only if it is bona fide. The application will state the name of the potential new employer and the capacity in which the applicant is to be employed. Such employment must be on a full-time basis for the period of the leave. The employee receiving a career leave must submit an intent to return letter to the Superintendent by March 15th of the leave year.

Section 3. A leave under this Article will be for a period of one (1) year commencing at the beginning of the school year, and an employee shall be eligible for only one career change leave during the term of his/her service in Westford.

Section 4. No more than one (1) Unit B employee shall be eligible to be on leave under this Article in any school year and a career change leave is subject to approval by the Committee.

Section 5. If there is more than one (1) applicant for the same school year, the applicant with the greatest seniority as defined in Article XV has priority for approval, unless the Superintendent decides that the granting of the leave would substantially affect educational quality in a particular area.

Section 6. A person returning from a leave under this Article, unless there has been a reduction in force that would have affected him/her, will be returned to the same or a similar position to which he/she was assigned at the commencement of the leave with all benefits (including seniority) that he/she had accrued at the commencement of the leave.

ARTICLE XX - NON-RESIDENT TUITION

The Committee agrees to permit children of Unit B members who are non resident in Westford to attend Westford Schools, provided that such attendance shall not require the Committee (pursuant to its own policies) to increase staff. The child of a Unit B employee residing in Massachusetts who is seeking entry in a grade that has declared openings under the School Choice program will be subject to a lottery if there are more applications than declared School Choice seats. If a child of a Unit B employee (who resides in Massachusetts) is not accepted via the School Choice program, the child may still attend Westford schools tuition free. A child of a Unit B employee who resides outside of Massachusetts, who is not eligible to attend under the School Choice program, will be allowed to attend Westford schools tuition free.

The Committee's refusal to grant such permission in a given instance shall not be subject to the grievance and arbitration provisions of the Agreement.

ARTICLE XXI – DURATION

This contract is effective on the date of execution and shall remain in full force and effect until August 31, 2020 and from year to year thereafter unless either party notifies the other party prior to December 1, 2019, or any December thereafter, of its desire to terminate or modify this contract. Such notifications shall be by Certified Mail-Return Receipt Requested.

In Witness Whereof, the Committee has caused this instrument to be duly executed by its authorized designees, and the Association, acting in behalf of the employees, has caused this instrument to be signed by its proper officers hereto duly authorized this 9th day of May 2018.

WEA UNIT B REPRESENTATIVES

Nichole Culler
Mary O'Gorman
Kristina Trujillo

WESTFORD SCHOOL COMMITTEE

Avery Adam
Chris Sundry
ATG
Monika
St. Mall

ATTACHMENT A
INTERIM EMPLOYEES AND RECALL RIGHTS

It is recognized in Article I that “interim employees” are not covered by this Agreement. The purpose of this Attachment is to further define the term “interim employees” and to set forth certain understandings between the Committee and the Association.

An “interim employee” is a person who is employed to replace an employee covered by this Agreement who is on an approved leave of absence for an extended period of time And of no less than ninety-one (91) school days Since such interim positions are outside the scope of this Agreement, it is understood that:

1. A bargaining unit employee who is laid off pursuant to Article XV and who has recall rights under that Article does not have recall rights to positions not covered by this Agreement, including interim positions. Accordingly, the Committee has no duty under Article XVI to offer such positions to employees with recall rights, and the refusal of a person with such recall rights to accept such a position if it is offered does not prejudice his recall rights.
2. The Committee agrees that a bargaining unit employee who has recall rights under Article XV will be given notice of vacancies in interim positions, and that the most senior employee with recall rights who applied for the position will be given that position if he is “qualified” as that term is defined in Section 2 of Article XV.
3. 3. The wages, hours, terms and conditions of employment of interim employees are not governed by this Agreement but rather by Committee policy. Thus, for example, an employee who at the time of layoff was being paid at the M-6 level of the Agreement would be paid based on the then applicable Committee policy.
4. An employee with recall rights who accepts an interim position will not have his two-year recall period enlarged as a result of that fact.
5. A member of Unit B on a Committee approved leave of absence who is RIF'd during this leave shall not have recall rights until termination of the leave, and the two-year recall period shall commence at the termination of the leave.

ATTACHMENT B SALARY SCHEDULE 2017-2018

<u>Step</u>	<u>Bachelors</u>	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Masters + 30</u>
1	\$44,167	\$50,165	\$55,004
2	\$46,317	\$52,057	\$56,714
3	\$48,443	\$53,936	\$58,425
4	\$50,339	\$56,024	\$60,338
5	\$51,983	\$58,027	\$62,103
6	\$53,787	\$60,185	\$65,018
7	\$56,220	\$62,798	\$67,315
8	\$58,601	\$65,401	\$69,940
9	\$60,934	\$68,150	\$72,566
10	\$63,861	\$71,176	\$75,622
11	\$65,877	\$74,949	\$79,132
12	\$68,574	\$78,267	\$83,531
13	\$70,741	\$81,082	\$86,374
14	\$76,026	\$83,931	\$89,793

ATTACHMENT B SALARY SCHEDULE 2018-2019

<u>Step</u>	<u>Bachelors</u>	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Masters + 30</u>
1	\$45,722	\$51,395	\$55,926
2	\$47,905	\$53,442	\$57,914
3	\$50,136	\$55,463	\$59,904
4	\$52,271	\$57,894	\$62,293
5	\$54,239	\$60,089	\$64,333
6	\$56,426	\$62,482	\$67,135
7	\$59,155	\$65,335	\$69,768
8	\$61,713	\$68,073	\$72,667
9	\$64,304	\$70,949	\$75,375
10	\$67,167	\$74,082	\$78,536
11	\$69,550	\$77,780	\$82,227
12	\$72,021	\$81,067	\$86,377
13	\$74,344	\$83,711	\$89,080
14	\$77,868	\$85,854	\$92,227

ATTACHMENT B SALARY SCHEDULE 2019-2020

<u>Step</u>	<u>Bachelors</u>	<u>Masters</u>	<u>Masters + 30</u>
1	\$47,277	\$52,624	\$56,849
2	\$49,493	\$54,827	\$59,114
3	\$51,830	\$56,990	\$61,383
4	\$54,203	\$59,763	\$64,247
5	\$56,495	\$62,151	\$66,562
6	\$59,064	\$64,779	\$69,253
7	\$62,091	\$67,871	\$72,221
8	\$64,825	\$70,744	\$75,395
9	\$67,673	\$73,749	\$78,183
10	\$70,474	\$76,988	\$81,450
11	\$73,223	\$80,610	\$85,323
12	\$75,469	\$83,867	\$89,222
13	\$77,948	\$86,341	\$91,787
14	\$79,709	\$87,777	\$94,661

LONGEVITY

On or before June 30 of each year, the Committee will pay the following additional salary based on continuous years of service in Westford Public Schools

<u>YEARS OF SERVICE</u>	<u>FY18</u>	<u>FY19</u>	<u>FY20</u>
15 and <20	\$1200	\$1200	\$1200
20 and <25	\$1425	\$1425	\$1425
25 and <30	\$1775	\$1775	\$1775
30+	\$2000	\$2000	\$2000

Any employee retiring at the end of the school year will receive a one-time additional salary of five hundred dollars (\$500) on or before June 30th.

SUMMER PAY

Teachers who are required to dismantle/setup their classroom due to involuntary moves, camps, and other activities taking place in their classroom shall be paid a per diem stipend for two (2) full days for work performed beyond the contracted work year upon the prior approval of the building principal.

CATEGORIES OF STIPENDS

Stipends will be paid to staff who are involved with activities/responsibilities that are beyond the professional responsibilities contained in the collective bargaining agreement. Stipend activities are classified into the following categories.

- Co-Curricular
- Coaching
- Administrative
- General

COCURRICULAR STIPEND PROCESS

Co-Curricular Stipends are paid to staff who provide additional instruction to students in clubs and activities beyond the school day. Staff may propose new clubs and activities. Recognizing the need to create a consistent process for the establishment of the number of activities and stipends, the Committee and Association will create

a Stipend Committee. The following process will be followed when a new club/advisor is proposed or when an advisor deems it necessary to propose an increase in the number of advisors or a change in the tier rating.

Co-Curricular Club/Advisor Approval Process

A written proposal will be submitted to the building principal by October 15th of that school year. The proposal form is in **(Attachment D)** of this Agreement. Principals or the Stipend Supervisor may also submit a request to the stipend committee to review a club/advisor stipend for the following year based on student attendance levels. See **(Attachment F)**

Pilot programs may be paid (Tier 1 level) at the end of the pilot year with the recommendation of the building principal or Stipend Supervisor based on student attendance and number of meetings.

Establishment of Co-Curricular Tiers and Compensation

The stipend compensation level and the number of advisors for the co-curricular activities will be determined by the Stipend Committee and will be based on a weighted point value which considers the number of contact hours beyond the school day and number of students. Any request for a change of the tier or the number of advisors will require a staff member to collect the data outlined in the “Proposal” form **(Attachment D)**. This data will be submitted to the Stipend Committee for reconsideration. Tiers will be established via a weighted point system outlined below. The number of students participating will be confirmed by attendance sheets submitted to the building principal and/or stipend supervisor after each meeting. **(Attachment F)**.

Tiers will be based on the formula below:

$$(\text{Hour points}) + (\text{Student points}) = \text{Tier points}$$

<u>Hours Beyond Prep & Contact Time</u>	<u>Hour Points</u>
10 – 12 Hours	1
13 – 24 Hours	2
Over 25 Hours	3

<u># of Students</u>	<u>Student Points</u>
3 – 10	1
11 – 23	2
Over 30	3

<u>Tier</u>	<u>Total point range</u>
1	2 total tier points
2	3 to 4 total tier points
3	5 to 6 total tier points

The stipend language and Tier Chart in this Agreement will be reviewed and outlined by the Stipend Committee or recommendations to the School Committee.

Stipend Committee

The Committee and the Association will create a Stipend Committee to establish a uniform system for adding stipends to the Unit A contract. The committee will consist of three (3) members appointed by the Superintendent and three (3) members appointed by the Association President. The purpose of the committee is to listen to proposals for additional clubs and activities and to recommend to the School Committee the tier, stipend and number of advisors during the life of this contract,

ATHLETIC STIPEND PROCESS

Athletic Coaching stipends are paid to individuals who provide interscholastic coaching to student athletes.

Process for Establishing a New Sport

A request for the establishment of a new sport will be submitted to the Director of Athletics. In consultation with the building principal, the Director of Athletics will determine the level of team:

- Varsity
- Junior Varsity & Freshmen
- Middle School

Proposals for new sports should be submitted approximately nine (9) months in advance of the season to allow for scheduling. In consultation with the building principal, the Director of Athletics will also determine the number of staff required to meet coaching responsibilities. The number of coaches will be determined by the number of students participating and safety considerations. The Director of Athletics will select coaches from qualified applicants.

Establishment of Coaching Groups and Compensation

Coaching positions are categorized into three groups depending upon the number of participants and the length of the season. Coaching stipends are established via the collective bargaining process.

GENERAL STIPEND PROCESS

General Stipends

General Stipends are paid to staff that have additional activities and responsibilities that are beyond the professional responsibilities contained in the collective bargaining agreement and beyond the school day. Recognizing the need to create a consistent process for the establishment of the General Stipends, the Committee and Association will create a General Stipend Committee. The following process will be followed when a new stipend is proposed.

Establishment of General Stipend

The Committee and the Association will create a Stipend Committee to establish a uniform system for adding general stipends to the Unit A contract. The committee will consist of six (6) members. The Superintendent, one administrative position appointed by the superintendent, three (3) WEA representatives appointed by the WEA executive board, and one (1) school committee member. The purpose of the committee is to listen to proposals for additional general stipends or when a stipend will not be funded, to give the School Committee an informed discussion and maybe brainstorm other options. The option to fund a stipend will be at the discretion of the School Committee.

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE OF MISC STIPENDS

<u>Activity</u>	<u>Stipend FY18</u>	<u>Stipend FY19</u>	<u>Stipend FY20</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Team Leader: Middle School	\$2,192	\$2,192	\$2,192	
Team Leader: Elem. School	\$2,192	\$2,192	\$2,192	
Summer School Facilitator	\$3,836	\$3,836	\$3,836	
Teacher Mentor: First Year Teacher	\$552	\$552	\$552	
Mentor Site Facilitator	\$661	\$661	\$661	
Guidance Counselors *				
New Teacher Orientation **	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100 per day
Principal Designee	\$1200	\$1200	\$1200	

* Regular high school guidance counselors are required to work one hundred eighty-nine (189) days and middle school guidance counselors are required to work one hundred eighty-eight (188) days. Regular guidance counselors are paid at a per diem rate for days beyond one hundred eighty-five (185) days.

** All new employees are required to attend a two (2) day orientation program prior to the start of the school year.

The above stipends will be paid in any year in which the position is filled. Recognizing the need to create a formal process for the adoption of new clubs and student activities, the Committee and Association will create a stipend committee to establish a uniform system for adding stipends to the contract.

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE for SPORTS STIPENDS
WESTFORD ACADEMY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS

Group	Fall Sport	Position	Recommended Number of Staff	Stipend FY18	Stipend FY19	Stipend FY20	
1	Football	Head Coach	1	\$8,701	\$8,701	\$8,701	
		Asst / JV	3	\$5,369	\$5,369	\$5,369	
		Freshman	2	\$4,819	\$4,819	\$4,819	
2	Soccer, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161	
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023	
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473	
		Middle School	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631	
2		Soccer, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023	
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473	
		Middle School	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631	
2	Field Hockey	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161	
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023	
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473	
		Middle School	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631	
2	Cross Country, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161	
		Cross Country, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
			Middle School	2	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631
2	Volleyball, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161	
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023	
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473	
		Middle School	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631	
3		Golf	Head Coach	1	\$5,221	\$5,221	\$5,221
3	Cheerleading - Fall	Head Coach	1	\$5,221	\$5,221	\$5,221	
		Asst	1	\$2,951	\$2,951	\$2,951	
2	Crew/Rowing, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161	
2	Crew/Rowing, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161	
2	Basketball, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161	
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023	
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473	
		Middle School	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631	
2		Basketball, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
	JV		1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023	
	Freshman		1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473	
	Middle School		1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631	
2	Ice Hockey, Boys		Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023	
		Asst	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473	
2		Ice Hockey, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
	JV		1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023	
	Asst		1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473	

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE for SPORTS STIPENDS
WESTFORD ACADEMY and MIDDLE SCHOOLS

Group	Fall Sport	Position	Recommended Number of Staff	Stipend FY18	Stipend FY19	Stipend FY20
2	Wrestling	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		Asst	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
2	Gymnastics	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		Asst	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473
2	Indoor Track, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
2	Indoor Track, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
2	Swimming, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
2	Swimming, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
3	Alpine Ski	Head Coach	1	\$5,221	\$5,221	\$5,221
3	Nordic Ski	Head Coach	1	\$5,221	\$5,221	\$5,221
		Asst	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473
3	Cheerleading - Winter	Head Coach	1	\$5,221	\$5,221	\$5,221
		Asst	1	\$2,951	\$2,951	\$2,951
2	Baseball	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473
		Middle School	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631
2	Softball	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473
		Middle School	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631
2	Tennis, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		Asst	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
2	Tennis, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		Asst	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
2	Track, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		Asst	2	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
		Middle School	2	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631
2	Track, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		Asst	2	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
		Middle School	2	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631
2	Volleyball, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473
		Middle School	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631
2	Lacrosse, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$6,161
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473
2	Lacrosse, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		JV	1	\$4,023	\$4,023	\$4,023
		Freshman	1	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$3,473
2	Crew/Rowing, Boys	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
2	Crew/Rowing, Girls	Head Coach	1	\$6,161	\$6,161	\$6,161
		MS Athletic Coordinator	1	\$2,631	\$2,631	\$2,631

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE FOR ADVISOR / COORDINATOR STIPENDS
WESTFORD ACADEMY

<u>Group</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Recommended Number of Staff</u>	<u>Stipend FY18</u>	<u>Stipend FY19</u>	<u>Stipend FY20</u>
5	Class Advisor Freshman	2	\$1,892	\$1,892	\$1,892
5	Class Advisor Sophomore	2	\$1,892	\$1,892	\$1,892
5	Class Advisor Junior	2	\$2,011	\$2,011	\$2,011
5	Class Advisor Senior	2	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
3	ADC Director	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
	Auditorium Manager	1	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000
7	Band-Summer	1	\$1,774	\$1,774	\$1,774
4	Broadcast/Communications WABC	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
4	Choreographer	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
4	Community Service	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
	Holiday Bazaar Coordinator	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
5	International Coordinator	1	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
4	Musical Assistant	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
4	NHS	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
3	NHS Assistant to Academic Support	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
1	Open Gym	1	\$393	\$393	\$393
4	Peer Counseling	1	\$1,892	\$1,892	\$1,892
3	Red Cross	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
5	School Store	1	\$1,892	\$1,892	\$1,892
6	Student Council	1	\$2,508	\$2,508	\$2,508
4	Technical Assistant	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
7	Theater Arts Director	3/year	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
4	WA Pride	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
6	Yearbook Advisor	1	\$2,755	\$2,755	\$2,755

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE FOR CLUBS / ORGANIZATIONS
WESTFORD ACADEMY

Group	Activity	Recommended Number of Staff	Stipend FY18	Stipend FY19	Stipend FY20
2	Animal Rights	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Anime Club	1	\$393	\$393	\$393
2	Apollo Science/Technology	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
3	Art Club	2	\$949	\$949	\$949
	Astronomy	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Baking Club	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
7	Band Concert	1	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
7	Band Jazz	1	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
2	Book Club	1	\$393	\$393	\$393
2	Chess Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
7	Chorus	1	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
6	Cirrus Instructors	4	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
7	Colorguard	1	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
4	Dance Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
5	DECA	3	\$1,892	\$1,892	\$1,892
2	Destination Imagination	1	\$393	\$393	\$393
	English Literacy	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
	Entrepreneur Club	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
2	Environmental Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Fencing	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Film Club	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
4	French Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	Future Educators	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Game Club	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
4	German Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	G.S.A	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
5	Ghostwriter Newspaper	1	\$1,892	\$1,892	\$1,892
2	Ghostwriter Newspaper Assistant	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
3	Harvard Model Congress	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
	High School Quiz Show	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	History Club	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
	Hope/Relay for Life	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	HOSA (Health Occp Student of Amer)	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
2	Human Rights	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
4	International Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
	Junior State of America	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
	Knitting Club	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
	K-pop Drama	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
4	Latin Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	Literacy Magazine	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
	Mandarin Club	1	\$393	\$393	\$393
7	Marching Band Director	1	\$5,510	\$5,510	\$5,510
4	Marching Band Assistant Director	3	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
2	Math Team	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
	Mobile App Development	1	\$393	\$393	\$393
2	Mock Trial	2	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	Model U.N.	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
2	Museum Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	NOW (Nat'l Org for Women)	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
4	Outing Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
	Pep Band Director	1	\$ 949	\$ 949	\$ 949

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE for CLUBS / ORGANIZATIONS
WESTFORD ACADEMY(Con't)

2	Photography	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Ping Pong Club	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
2	Political Forum	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Project Purple	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
4	CSL Programming Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	Raise Your Voice	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Robotics Club	1	\$ 949	\$ 949	\$ 949
2	S.A.D.D.	2	\$710	\$710	\$710
4	Science Team	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
	Scrapbooking Club	1	\$393	\$393	\$393
2	Ski Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
	Smash Club (Super Mario Bros)	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
4	Spanish Club	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
4	Speech & Debate Team	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	Speech & Debate Team Assistant	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Symphony / Winds	1	\$2364	\$2364	\$2364
2	Three on Three Basketball	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Troubadours	1	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364
	UNICEF	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Unicycle Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	WA Friends	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	W.A.V.E	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
1	W.O.R.D	1	\$393	\$393	\$393
	3-D Design	1	\$949	\$949	\$949

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE FOR ADVISOR/COORDINATOR STIPENDS
MIDDLE SCHOOLS

<u>Group</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Recommended Number of Staff</u>	<u>Stipend FY18</u>	<u>Stipend FY19</u>	<u>Stipend FY20</u>
2	6 TH Grade Band	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	6 th Grade Orchestra	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	6 th Grade Chorus	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
7	Band Concert	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
7	Chorus	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
7	Symphony/Chamber Orchestra	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE FOR CLUBS/ORGANIZATIONS
MIDDLE SCHOOLS (Con't.)

<u>Group</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Recommended Number of Staff</u>	<u>Stipend FY18</u>	<u>Stipend FY19</u>	<u>Stipend FY20</u>
	AMC/Math Counts	1	\$1,017	\$1,017	\$1,017
	Anime Club	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
7	Band Jazz	1	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	Book Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Chess Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
3	Computer	2	\$1,017	\$1,017	\$1,017
2	Crafts	2	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Cubing Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Dance / Dance	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Destination Imagination	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Drama Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
1	Environment	2	\$414	\$414	\$414
2	Fantasy Came Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Finding X	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Fitness Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	French / Italian Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
1	Geography Bee	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
2	German Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Girls on the Run	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
1	Hiking Club	2	\$414	\$414	\$414
	History Club	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
	Latin Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Literacy Magazine	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
3	Math Team	3	\$1,017	\$1,017	\$1,017
	6 th Grade Math Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
4	Mock Trial	2	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	Multicultural Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
3	Newspaper	2	\$1,017	\$1,017	\$1,017
1	Peer Mediators	2	\$414	\$414	\$414
2	Quilting Bee	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Roller Hockey	1	\$949	\$949	\$949
1	Science Club	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
	Service Learning Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
1	Sign Language	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
2	Ski Club Assistant	2	\$710	\$710	\$710
3	Ski Club Coordinator	1	\$1,017	\$1,017	\$1,017
2	Spanish Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Speech & Debate	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
4	Student Council	2	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
	Theater Arts	3	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
1	West Street Serenaders	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
2	Woodworking	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Writers Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
4	Yearbook	2	\$1,253	\$1,253	\$1,253
2	Yearbook Assistant	2	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Yoga	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
9	*Intramurals Not to exceed \$3630/Staff/Year		\$35/hour	\$35/hour	\$35/hour

ATTACHMENT C
SCHEDULE FOR CLUBS/ORGANIZATIONS STIPENDS
ELEMENTARY LEVEL

<u>Group</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Recommended Number of Staff</u>	<u>Stipend FY18</u>	<u>Stipend FY19</u>	<u>Stipend FY20</u>
	5 th Grade Band	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	5 th Grade Chorus	2	\$710	\$710	\$710
	5 th Grade Camp	1	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000
3	5 th Grade Musical Director	1	\$1,017	\$1,017	\$1,017
3	5 th Grade Play Director	1	\$1,017	\$1,017	\$1,017
	5 th Grade Strings	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Book Club	2	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Environment Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Grade 1 Math Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Grade 2 Math Club	1	\$710	\$710	\$710
2	Nature's Classroom	1	\$414	\$414	\$414
2	Ski Club	2	\$710	\$710	\$710
	Stem Fair Club	7 *Districtwide	\$414	\$414	\$414
2	Upper Elem Newspaper	1	\$710	\$710	\$710

ATTACHMENT D

PROPOSAL FOR NEW COCURRICULAR CLUB/ADVISOR STIPENDS

PILOT YEAR _____

DATE _____

NAME _____

SCHOOL _____

PROPOSED CLUB/Advisor _____

BRIEF DESCRIPTION _____

<u>DATA</u>	<u>PROPOSED</u> <u>Projected # for pilot year</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u> <u>Upon completion of Year 1</u>
Number of hours needed for preparation outside of school day(each session)		
Number of students expected to participate		
Location (In or Out of school)		
Start and end dates		
Number of meetings outside of school day		
Cost of supplies		

YEAR 2 OF APPROVAL PROCESS or request to review

Principal Input: _____

Building Principal Signature _____

STIPEND COMMITTEE ACTION

Date _____

Number of Advisors _____

Stipend Tier _____

Stipend Amount _____

Submit to the building principal, Building Principal will then send to Human Resources

Stipend Committee returns to building principal

ATTACHMENT E

CO--CURRICULAR / ADVISOR PLANNING FORM

Today's Date: _____ **Co-Curricular Activity:** _____

Advisor(s): _____ **Room Requested:** _____

Schedule of Meetings:

(Please provide specific dates and include trips, conferences, etc. No meetings on early release days.)

Other Facilities/Equipment Needs:

Submit to the building principal before 1st meeting

ATTACHMENT F

CO-CURRICULAR ATTENDANCE FORM

Please complete one attendance chart after each meeting and submit to the building Principal or Stipend Supervisor. Include the total meeting time and the number of students who participated at each meeting in the spaces below.

Meeting Date: _____ **Total meeting time:** _____ **Total # of students:** _____

#	Student Name
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

****Submit to building principal /or stipend supervisor after each meeting.***

WESTFORD PUBLIC SCHOOLS



PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

and

TEACHER EVALUATION PROCESS

MANUAL



Massachusetts Department of
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY
EDUCATION

Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation

Part IV: Model Collective Bargaining Contract Language

January 2012

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
75 Pleasant Street, Malden, MA 02148-4906
Phone 781-338-3000 TTY: N.E.T. Relay 800-439-2370
www.doe.mass.edu



This document was prepared by the
Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Mitchell D. Chester, Ed.D.
Commissioner

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education Members

Ms. Maura Banta, Chair, Melrose
Ms. Beverly Holmes, Vice Chair, Springfield
Dr. Vanessa Calderón-Rosado, Milton
Ms. Harneen Chernow, Jamaica Plain
Mr. Gerald Chertavian, Cambridge
Mr. Matthew Gifford, Chair, Student Advisory Council, Brookline
Dr. Jeff Howard, Reading
Ms. Ruth Kaplan, Brookline
Dr. Dana Mohler-Faria, Bridgewater
Mr. Paul Reville, Secretary of Education, Worcester
Mr. David Roach, Sutton

Mitchell D. Chester, Ed.D., Commissioner and Secretary to the Board

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, an affirmative action employer, is committed to ensuring that all of its programs and facilities are accessible to all members of the public.
We do not discriminate on the basis of age, color, disability, national origin, race, religion, sex or sexual orientation.
Inquiries regarding the Department's compliance with Title IX and other civil rights laws may be directed to the Human Resources Director, 75 Pleasant St., Malden, MA 02148-4906. Phone: 781-338-6105.

© 2012 Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Permission is hereby granted to copy any or all parts of this document for non-commercial educational purposes. Please credit the "Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education."

This document printed on recycled paper

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
75 Pleasant Street, Malden, MA 02148-4906
Phone 781-338-3000 TTY: N.E.T. Relay 800-439-2370
www.doe.mass.edu



Contents

A Letter from the Commissioner	1
The Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation	2
The Opportunity	3
Collective Bargaining and Educator Evaluation	4
Forms to Support Effective Implementation	6
Background: The New Educator Evaluation Framework.....	7
Key Features	7
Implementation Timetable.....	10
District Options: Adopt the Contract Language, Adapt it, or Revise an Existing Contract	12
ESE’s Review Process.....	12
Reporting Requirements and Educator Confidentiality	13
Appendix A. Educator Evaluation and Collective Bargaining.....	A-1
Appendix B. Reporting Requirements and Educator Confidentiality	B-1
Appendix C. Teacher and Caseload Educator Model Contract Language.....	C-1



Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

75 Pleasant Street, Malden, Massachusetts 02148-4906

Telephone: (781) 338-3000
TTY: N.E.T. Relay 1-800-439-2370

Mitchell D. Chester, Ed.D.
Commissioner
January 20, 2012

Dear Educators and other interested Stakeholders,

I am pleased to present Part IV of the Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation. Since late June, when the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education adopted regulations to improve student learning by overhauling educator evaluation in the Commonwealth, staff here at the Department has been working closely with stakeholders to develop the Model System called for in the regulations. With the help of thoughtful suggestions and candid feedback from a wide range of stakeholders, we developed the first six components of the Model System:

- District-Level Planning and Implementation Guide
- School-Level Planning and Implementation Guide
- Guide to Rubrics and Model Rubrics for Superintendent, Administrator, and Teacher
- Model Collective Bargaining Contract Language,
- Implementation Guide for Principal Evaluation
- Implementation Guide for Superintendent Evaluation

I am excited by the promise of Massachusetts' new regulations. Thoughtfully and strategically implemented, they will improve student learning by supporting analytical conversation about teaching and leading that will strengthen professional practice. At the same time, the new regulations provide the opportunity for educators to take charge of their own growth and development by setting individual and group goals related to student learning.

The Members of the State Board and I know that improvement in the quality and effectiveness of educator evaluation will happen only if the Department does the hard work ahead "with the field," not "to the field." To that end, we at the Department need to learn with the field. We will continue to revise and improve the Model System including the Implementation Guides based on what we learn with the field over the next few years. To help us do that, please do not hesitate to send your comments, questions and suggestions to us at EducatorEvaluation@doe.mass.edu. Please also visit the Educator Evaluation webpage at www.doe.mass.edu/edeval/. We will be updating the page regularly.

Please know that you can count on the Department to be an active, engaged partner in the challenging, but critical work ahead.

Sincerely,

Mitchell D. Chester, Ed.D.
Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education

The Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation

The Model System is a comprehensive educator evaluation system designed by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (ESE), pursuant to the new educator evaluation regulations, 603 CMR 35.00. The following eight-part series was developed to support effective implementation of the regulations by districts and schools across the Commonwealth.

Part I: District-Level Planning and Implementation Guide

This Guide takes district leaders—school committees, superintendents and union leaders—through factors to consider as they decide whether to adopt or adapt the Model System or revise their own evaluation systems to meet the new educator evaluation regulation. The Guide describes the rubrics, tools, resources and model contract language ESE has developed, and describes the system of support ESE is offering. It outlines reporting requirements, as well as the process ESE will use to review district evaluation systems for superintendents, principals, teachers and other licensed staff. Finally, the Guide identifies ways in which district leaders can support effective educator evaluation implementation in the schools.

Part II: School-Level Planning and Implementation Guide

This Guide is designed to support administrators and teachers as they implement teacher evaluations at the school level. The Guide introduces and explains the requirements of the regulation and the principles and priorities that underlie them. It offers guidance, strategies, templates and examples that will support effective implementation of each of the five components of the evaluation cycle: self-assessment; goal setting and educator plan development; plan implementation and evidence collection; formative assessment/evaluation; and summative evaluation.

Part III: Guide to Rubrics and Model Rubrics for Superintendent, Administrator, and Teacher

The Guide presents the Model Rubrics and explains their use. The Guide also outlines the process for adapting them.

Part IV: Model Collective Bargaining Contract Language

This section contains the Model Collective Bargaining Contract Language that is consistent with the regulations. By March 15, 2012, ESE will provide model contract language for “Unit B” administrators and educators.

Part V: Implementation Guide for Principal Evaluation

This section details the model process for principal evaluation and includes relevant documents and forms for recording goals, evidence and ratings. The Guide includes resources that principals and superintendents may find helpful, including a school visit protocol.

Part VI: Implementation Guide for Superintendent Evaluation

This section details the model process for superintendent evaluation and includes relevant documents and a form for recording goals, evidence and ratings. The Guide includes resources that school committees and superintendents may find helpful, including a model for effective goal setting.

Part VII: Rating Educator Impact on Student Learning Using District-Determined Measures of Student Learning (July 2012)

Part VII is scheduled for publication in July 2012. It will contain guidance for districts on identifying and using district determined measures of student learning, growth and achievement, and determining ratings of high, moderate or low for educator impact on student learning.

Part VIII: Using Staff and Student Feedback in the Evaluation Process (May 2013)

Part VIII is scheduled for publication in May 2013. It will contain direction for districts on incorporating student and staff feedback into the educator evaluation process.

The Opportunity

On June 28, 2011 the Massachusetts Board of Elementary and Secondary Education adopted new regulations to guide the evaluation of all educators serving in positions requiring a license—teachers, principals, superintendents, and other administrators¹. The regulations are designed first and foremost to promote leaders’ and teachers’ growth and development. They place student learning at the center of the process using multiple measures of student learning. By 2013-14, every district in the Commonwealth will be phasing in evaluation processes and procedures that are consistent with the new regulations.

To do so will require changes in culture and practice in many schools and districts. Members of the Task Force that crafted recommendations for the regulations found that in many schools in the Commonwealth—and nationwide—the educator evaluation process is ineffective.² Too often, they found, the process is divorced from student learning and is superficial, ritualistic and passive, experienced by many as something “done to them.” Fewer than half of teachers and administrators polled described their own experience of evaluation as a process that contributed to their professional growth and development. The new regulations—well implemented—are designed to change all this. Each educator will take a leading role in shaping his/her professional growth and development.

- Every educator will assess his/her own performance and propose one or more challenging goals for improving his/her own practice. A formal process for reflection and self-assessment creates the foundation of a new opportunity for educators to chart their own course for professional growth and development.
- Every educator will be using a rubric that offers a detailed picture of practice at four levels of performance. District-wide rubrics set the stage for both deep reflection and the rich dialogue about practice that our profession seeks.
- Every educator will also consider their students’ needs using a wide range of ways to assess student growth and propose one or more challenging goals for improving student learning. They will be able to monitor progress carefully and analyze the impact of their hard work.
- Every educator will be expected to consider team goals, a clear indication of the value the new process places on both collaboration and accountability.
- Every educator will compile and present evidence and conclusions about their performance and progress on their goals, ensuring that the educator voice is critical to the process.

These and other features of the new educator evaluation system hold great promise for improving educator practice, school climate and student learning. To turn promise into reality, every educator—and the teams they work with—will need to be supported to do this new work effectively and efficiently.

¹ For the full text of the regulations, see <http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr35.html>

² For the full report of the Task Force, see Building a Breakthrough Framework for Educator Evaluation in the Commonwealth, submitted by the Massachusetts Task Force on the Evaluation of Teachers and Administrators, March 2011 available at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/edeval/breakthroughframework.doc>

The Task Force envisioned ESE playing an active role in that support, expecting ESE to develop a model to support districts to implement its “breakthrough framework”. The regulations therefore called on ESE to develop a “model system” which it defined as “the comprehensive educator evaluation system designed and updated as needed by the Department as an exemplar for use by districts. The Model System shall include tools, guidance, rubrics, and contract language developed by the Department that satisfy the requirements of (this regulation).”³ This guide and its companions are the first components of the Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation (hereafter referred to as “the Model System”).⁴

Collective Bargaining and Educator Evaluation

The procedures for conducting educator evaluation are a mandatory subject of collective bargaining in Massachusetts.⁵ As such, all districts will be engaged in collective bargaining in order to implement the framework for teachers, caseload educators and administrators represented by bargaining agents. Many of the early adopter districts see the new framework as a welcome opportunity for labor and management to engage deeply and constructively in the conversation, collaboration and negotiation required to establish a sound foundation for implementing new practices. They understand that formal negotiations are only one step in a much longer process of collaboration that will be needed to build, monitor, update, and revise an educator evaluation process that is fair, transparent, credible, and leads to educator growth and development.

Districts have approached contract language concerning educator evaluation differently. Some have included every detail of the evaluation process in their collective bargaining agreements. Others have included some aspects of the process in the contract and others in side letters or other documents. Still other districts have bargained more general procedures and some of the details lie outside of formal agreements. The Model Collective Bargaining Contract Language contains very specific language. A district that chooses to adopt the model will adopt the contract language in its entirety. Districts may choose to adapt it to local conditions by adding, deleting and/or revising language. For example, while the Model refers to “primary” and “supervising” evaluators, some districts may prefer to use different terms to identify these roles. Still others may choose not to use the model language as a starting point. They may choose to revise their existing contract language to make sure it conforms to the new state regulations. As with other components of the model system, districts that choose to adapt the model or revise their existing system will need to complete the Educator Evaluation Questionnaire described in Part I, The District-Level Planning and Implementation Guide. The Questionnaire serves as both a self-assessment to help districts know ahead of time if the evaluation process they are planning conforms to the regulations, and as the vehicle for presenting its process for ESE review. At the end of the term of the parties’ collective bargaining agreement, districts will have a new opportunity to decide whether to adopt or adapt the Model Collective Bargaining Contract Language.

We would like to acknowledge the valuable assistance we received from many individuals and organizations as we developed the model collective bargaining contract language. State associations whose representatives worked with ESE staff include, in alphabetical order: American Federation of Teachers, Massachusetts (AFT-MA), Massachusetts Association of School Committees (MASC), Massachusetts Association of School Personnel Association (MASPA), Massachusetts Association of School Superintendents (MASS), Massachusetts Secondary School Administrators Association (MSSAA),

³ See CMR 603 35.02 at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr35.html>

⁴ See <http://www.mass.edu/eval/model/> for all components of the Model

⁵ M.G.L. c 71 s 38. See Appendix A for an excerpt

Massachusetts Teachers Association (MTA). We appreciate their participation and we thank them for their time and effort.

Consistent with an evaluation system that promotes continuous learning, we anticipate learning what works well and what could be improved as districts implement their new evaluation systems. In addition, ESE will provide model contract language and guidance on rating educator impact on student learning growth based on state and district-determined measures of student learning, as well as the use of student and staff feedback. For these reasons, it will be important for the collective bargaining agreements to acknowledge the parties' obligation to address these new matters in a timely manner.

ESE developed the model contract language to support district leaders—school committee members, superintendents, union leaders, human resource directors, and others—as they work together to turn the promise of a breakthrough framework for educator evaluation into reality for every educator in the district. At the very least, the model language can serve as a district's starting point for bargaining. It is ESE's hope that many districts will find the model contract language clear and comprehensive and will conclude that they can adopt it without modification.

While collective bargaining is typically led by district leaders, most of the *implementation* work involved with educator evaluation will be in the hands of school-level staff—teachers, principals and other school staff. Therefore, it is important that school-level staff—particularly principals and department heads—be engaged in the discussion about contract language. It is they who will have to make the words on the paper come alive.⁶

ESE looks forward to receiving feedback on this guide at educatorevaluation@doe.mass.edu.

⁷ Caseload educators are educators who teach or counsel individual or small groups of students through consultation with a classroom teacher, such as school nurses, guidance or adjustment counselors, speech and language pathologists, and some special education teachers.

Forms to Support Effective Implementation

The Model Contract Language does not include forms. That said, Appendix A in Part II of the Model System, the School-Level Planning and Implementation Guide, contains ten (10) forms that are fully compatible with the model contract language. They were developed in collaboration with early adopter schools and districts and in consultation with staff from the state offices of MTA and AFT-MA. They are designed to help make implementation of the 5-Step Cycle efficient, effective, clear, and concrete. Districts are urged to look to these forms as a thoughtful starting point for their own planning.

- Educator Tracking Sheet
- Self-Assessment Form
- Goal Setting Form
- Educator Plan Form
- Evaluator Record of Evidence Form
- Educator Collection of Evidence Form
- Formative Assessment Report Form
- Formative Evaluation Report Form
- Summative Evaluation Report Form
- Educator Response Form

Background: The New Educator Evaluation Framework

Key Features

Most of the public discussion about the new framework and regulations has focused on teacher evaluation. That is unfortunate because an underlying assumption of the Task Force and the regulations has been this: **the new Framework applies to every educator**. Starting in fall 2012 school committees will begin applying the framework when they evaluate superintendents. Superintendents will apply the same framework when they evaluate assistant superintendents, principals and other district administrators. Principals, in turn, will apply the framework when they evaluate teachers, caseload educators⁷ and school-level administrators. Everyone is “in this boat” together.

The framework calls for key features that apply to every educator:

1. **Statewide Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership and Teaching Practice.** The Task Force proposed a set of standards and indicators for both teachers and administrators that it intended to promote a statewide understanding about what effective teaching and administrative practice look like. The process included an extensive comparison of relevant state and national standards. Each of four standards for teachers and for administrators is broken down into 3-6 indicators. Together, the standards and indicators serve as what the Task Force called the “spine” of the new evaluation framework, and “will do so in the evaluation systems that districts adopt”. The regulations define Standards and Indicators for Effective Teaching Practice and for Administrative Leadership Practice ([603 CMR 35.03](#) and [603 CMR 35.04](#)).

Standards for Administrators

Instructional Leadership

Management and Operations

Family and Community Engagement

Professional Culture

Standards for Teachers

Curriculum, Planning and Assessment

Teaching All Students

Family and Community Engagement

Professional Culture

2. **Role-specific rubrics define the Standards and Indicators.** The regulations require that the standards and indicators be “translated” into rubrics that describe practice in detail at different levels of proficiency ([603 CMR 35.06](#)). Educators and evaluators will use the rubric most appropriate to the role of the educator as a foundation for self-assessment, formative assessment and summative evaluation. Rubrics give substance to the standards and indicators. Each indicator is broken down into elements that are in turn described at four levels. Rubrics are a tool for making explicit and specific the behaviors and actions present at each level of performance. They can foster constructive dialogue about those expectations and how to improve practice. The rubrics prompt careful analysis and discussion. Detailed information about rubrics can be found in Part III of the Model System for Educator Evaluation, Guide to Rubrics and Model Rubrics for Superintendent, Administrator and Teacher.

⁷ Caseload educators are educators who teach or counsel individual or small groups of students through consultation with a classroom teacher, such as school nurses, guidance or adjustment counselors, speech and language pathologists, and some special education teachers.

3. **Three Categories of Evidence.** To assess educator performance on the Standards and Indicators, the Task Force called for three categories of evidence to be used in every district's educator evaluation system. The regulations describe:

- **Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement**, including classroom assessments, district-determined measures comparable across grade or subject district-wide, and state-wide growth measures where available, including the MCAS Student Growth Percentile (SGP) and Massachusetts English Proficiency gain scores (MEPA);
- **Judgments based on observation and artifacts of professional practice**, including unannounced observations of practice of any duration; and,
- **Additional evidence relevant to one or more Performance Standards** ([603 CMR 35.07\(1\)](#)). Starting in 2013-14, student feedback will have to be used as a source of evidence when evaluating teachers and administrators, and staff feedback will have to be used when evaluating administrators.⁸

4. **A Statewide Performance Rating Scale.** The performance of every educator is rated against the Performance Standards described above. All educators earn one of four ratings: *Exemplary*, *Proficient*, *Needs Improvement*, or *Unsatisfactory*. Each rating has a specific meaning:

Proficient performance is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance; demanding, but attainable.

Exemplary performance represents a level of performance that exceeds the already high standard of Proficient. A rating of Exemplary is reserved for performance on an Indicator or Standard that is of such a high level that it could serve as a model. Few educators are expected to earn Exemplary ratings on more than a handful of Indicators.

Needs Improvement indicates performance that is below the requirements of a Standard but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. For new educators, Needs Improvement can be understood as "developing" in cases where the educator is "on track" to proficiency within three years.

Unsatisfactory performance is merited when performance has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard and is considered inadequate, or both.

The regulations also call for a higher bar for tenure: "Professional teacher status, pursuant to G.L. ch. 71, § 41, should be granted only to educators who have achieved ratings of Proficient or Exemplary on each Performance Standard and overall. A principal considering making an employment decision that would lead to professional teacher status for any educator who has not been rated proficient or exemplary on each Performance Standard and overall on the most recent evaluation shall confer with the superintendent of schools by May 1. The principal's decision is subject to review and approval by the superintendent." (See [603 CMR 35.08\(6\)](#))

⁸ Starting in 2013-14, additional evidence relevant to one or more performance standards will include student feedback and, for administrators, staff feedback. The regulations call on ESE to provide direction for collecting and using student and staff feedback by July 1, 2013.

5. **Rating Educator Impact on Student Learning.** Every educator earns a second rating that reflects his/her impact on student learning. The Board added this more explicit focus on student learning by requiring that the impact on student learning of every educator be rated high, moderate or low based on trends and patterns in learning gains on state and district-determined measures of student learning, growth and achievement. Beginning in 2013-14, each district will identify “district-determined measures of student learning which must be comparable across grade or subject district-wide”. The rating of impact on student learning is distinct, however, from the use of multiple measures as a category of evidence to rate educator performance.

6. **Four Educator Plans.** The Task Force prioritized differentiating evaluation by both career stage and performance. The regulations define four differentiated Educator Plans. The following three plans apply only to “Experienced” educators defined as a teacher with Professional Teacher Status (PTS) or an administrator with more than three years in an administrative position in the district:


- The *Self-Directed Growth Plan* applies to educators rated Proficient or Exemplary and is developed by the educator. When the Rating of Impact on Student Learning is implemented (beginning in 2013-14), educators with a Moderate or High Rating of Impact will be on a two-year plan; educators with a Low Rating will be on a one-year plan.
- The *Directed Growth Plan* applies to educators rated Needs Improvement and is a plan of one school year or less developed by the educator and the evaluator.
- The *Improvement Plan* applies to educators rated Unsatisfactory and is a plan of no less than 30 calendar days and no longer than one school year, developed by the evaluator.

Few new educators are expected to be Proficient on every Indicator or even every Standard in their first years of practice. Therefore, the fourth plan applies to teachers without Professional Teacher Status, an administrator in their first three years in a district, or, at the discretion of an evaluator, an educator in a new assignment:

- The *Developing Educator Plan* is developed by the educator and the evaluator and is for one school year or less.

7. Five-Step Evaluation Cycle with Goals for Student Learning and Professional Practice.

Every educator participates in a one- or two-year, five-step cycle of continuous improvement. The cycle is the centerpiece of the new regulations designed to have all educators play a more active, engaged role in their professional growth and development. For every educator, evaluation begins with self-assessment. The self-assessment leads to establishing at least two goals in the Educator's Plan for the year, one focusing on student learning and another focusing on improving the educator's own practice. The cycle concludes with the summative evaluation and the rating of the educator's impact on student learning. It also is a continuous improvement process in which evidence from the summative evaluation and rating of impact on learning become important sources of information for the educator's self-assessment and subsequent goal setting [603 CMR 35.06](#).



More details about the regulations appear in other components of the Model System, including the District-Level Planning and Implementation Guide (Part I) and School-Level Planning and Implementation Guide (Part II). For more information on the regulations, please see the Educator Evaluation webpage on the ESE website: <http://www.doe.mass.edu/edeval/>

Implementation Timetable

The regulations call for districts to phase in components of the evaluation system over several years:

- **Phase I:** Rate every educator based on attainment of goals and performance against the four Standards defined in the educator evaluation regulations.
- **Phase II:** Rate every educator's impact on student learning gains based on trends and patterns on state and district-determined measures of student learning.
- **Phase III:** Use feedback from students and (for administrators) staff as evidence in the evaluation process. ESE will provide guidance by June 2013.

The Board's decision to phase in different components of the framework over a two year period permits ESE and districts to continue to learn from early adopters, practitioners and researchers both here in Massachusetts and in other states. For example, recent national research is confirming that survey data measuring students' perception of what happens in classrooms in terms of student relationships, teacher expectations, and academic press is significantly correlated with student achievement gains.⁹ ESE's direction on student surveying, required by June 2013, can be informed by that research and continued dialogue with practitioners in the field. Just as importantly, having eighteen additional months will give the Department time to identify and secure tools that can make it easier and less costly for schools to collect and use this important source of information.

⁹ See, for example: T. Crow, The View from the Seats, Student input provides a clearer picture of what works in schools, [Journal of Staff Development](#) 32 (6), December 2011.

Below is the schedule for key ESE and district action over the next eighteen months.

January 2012: ESE publishes first components of model system

March 2012: ESE publishes model contract language for “Unit B”

Winter/Spring 2012: Race to the Top (RTTT) districts begin collective bargaining

June 2012: ESE provides guidance on rating educator impact on student learning based on state and district-determined measures

Summer 2012: RTTT districts begin training for evaluators and develop plans for a process to identify, develop and/or adopt district-determined measures

By September 1, 2012: RTTT districts submit their proposed educator evaluation systems to ESE for review, including collective bargaining agreements for teachers and administrators represented by bargaining units (Phase I)

September 2012: RTTT districts begin implementation of educator evaluation for superintendents, principals, teachers and other administrators

By January 2013: All remaining districts begin collective bargaining

By June 2013: ESE issues direction on collecting and using student and staff feedback

By September 2013: All districts submit to ESE plans for district-determined measures and their proposed processes for rating educator impact on student learning (Phase II) and using student and staff feedback (Phase III)

September 2013: All districts are implementing the educator evaluation framework in ways consistent with the educator evaluation regulations

District Options: Adopt the Contract Language, Adapt it, or Revise an Existing Contract

No district is compelled to adopt the model contract. School committees and school districts can adopt the model contract language, adapt it, or revise their existing contract to align with the framework. That said, the Board established a critical role for ESE:

“All evaluation systems and changes to evaluation systems shall be subject to the Department’s review to ensure the systems are consistent with the Board’s Principles of Evaluation. A District may continue to use its existing evaluation systems until the District has fully implemented its new system.”¹⁰ (603 CMR 35.11(2))

For each unit represented through collective bargaining, a district has three options:

Adopt—A district that adopts the model contract for one or more groups of educators will be using the contract created by ESE without making any changes. When ESE updates the contract, the district will follow the implementation timeline detailed by ESE for transitioning to the revised language.

Adapt—A district that adapts the model contract for one or more groups of educators will be using the model contract language as its starting point, but has decided to alter them in some way(s).

Revise—A district with an existing contract for one or more groups of educators that it considers stronger than the model contract may choose to revise that system to ensure alignment with all of the principles of educator evaluation detailed in the regulations.

ESE’s Review Process

Districts that adopt the model contract language will simply notify ESE of its decision to adopt the model and submit a short Educator Evaluation Review Questionnaire.

Districts that decide to adapt the model contract language or revise their existing contract language for one or more groups of educators will need to complete the Educator Evaluation Review Questionnaire for each group. In both cases, the district will need to complete a separate Educator Evaluation Review Questionnaire for the group(s) of educators for which it is adapting the model contract, and submit its proposed contract language for review.

The more components of the model a district adapts, the more extensive its response to the questionnaire will need to be. For example, a district that adopts the model contract for teachers with a few changes will be responding to fewer questions than one that makes many changes.

¹⁰ See CMR 603 35.11(2)

To facilitate local district decision-making, ESE is piloting a review process with early adopter districts that are anticipating adapting the contract language or revising their existing language. ESE will be reviewing their contract(s) for consistency with the regulations. Through this pilot, ESE is developing the criteria and questionnaire process it will use to assess whether an adaptation to the model contract language or a revision to existing language meets the regulatory requirements. ESE will make available final details of the review criteria and process no later than March 31, 2012. Districts participating in Race to the Top will submit their plans to ESE by September 2012. Other districts will submit their plans by September 2013. ESE will report its review findings to the district and the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education as soon after a district submits its plans as is feasible.

Reporting Requirements and Educator Confidentiality

The regulations require districts to provide ESE with individual educator evaluation data for each educator. The regulations are explicit that educator evaluation data for each educator will not be made public. The single exception is the superintendent whose evaluation must be conducted in public and whose summative evaluation is a public document, consistent with state open meeting and public records laws. For all other educators, the regulations guarantee that any information concerning an educator's formative assessment, formative evaluation or summative evaluation is considered personnel information and is not subject to disclosure under the public records law. However, aggregate data that do not identify individual educators may be made public. ESE will also produce detailed collection guidance for the ongoing school year implementations. Appendix B details district reporting requirements beginning for some districts at the close of the 2011-12 school year, and for most districts at the close of the 2012-13 school year.



Appendices: Resources to Support Effective Implementation

Appendix A. Educator Evaluation and Collective Bargaining

Excerpts from M G.L. c. 71, § 38.

The superintendent, by means of comprehensive evaluation, shall cause the performance of all teachers, principals, and administrators within the school district to be evaluated using any principles of evaluation established by the board of education pursuant to section one B of chapter sixty-nine and by such consistent, supplemental performance standards as the school committee may require, including the extent to which students assigned to such teachers and administrators satisfy student academic standards or, in the case of a special education student, the individual education plan, and the successful implementation of professional development plans required under section thirty-eight Q; provided, however, that such principles and standards be consistent with the anti-discrimination requirements of chapter one hundred and fifty-two B. The superintendent shall require the evaluation of administrators and of teachers without professional teacher status every year and shall require the evaluation of teachers with professional teacher status at least once every two years. The procedures for conducting such evaluations, but not the requirement for such evaluations, shall be subject to the collective bargaining provisions of chapter one hundred and fifty E.

Performance standards for teachers and other school district employees shall be established by the school committee upon the recommendation of the superintendent, provided that where teachers are represented for collective bargaining purposes, all teacher performance standards shall be determined as follows: The school committee and the collective bargaining representative shall undertake for a reasonable period of time to agree on teacher performance standards. Prior to said reasonable period of time, the school district shall seek a public hearing to comment on such standards. In the absence of an agreement, after such reasonable period, teacher performance standards shall be determined by binding interest arbitration. Either the school district or the teachers' collective bargaining representative may file a petition seeking arbitration with the commissioner of education. The commissioner shall forward to the parties a list of three arbitrators provided by the American Arbitration Association. The school committee and the collective bargaining representative within three days of receipt of the list from the commissioner of education shall have the right to strike one of the three arbitrators' names if they are unable to agree upon a single arbitrator from among the three. The arbitration shall be conducted in accordance with the rules of the American Arbitration Association to be consistent with the provisions of this section. In reaching a decision, the arbitrator shall seek to advance the goals of encouraging innovation in teaching and of holding teachers accountable for improving student performance. The arbitrator shall consider the particular socioeconomic conditions of the student population of the school district. Both the parties and the arbitrator may adopt performance standards established by state or national organizations. The performance standards shall be incorporated into the applicable collective bargaining agreement; provided, however, that any subsequent modification of the performance standards shall be made pursuant to the procedures set forth in this section.

Appendix B. Reporting Requirements and Educator Confidentiality

The regulations require districts to provide ESE with individual educator evaluation data for each educator. The regulations are explicit that educator evaluation data for each educator will not be made public. The single exception is the superintendent whose evaluation must be conducted in public and whose summative evaluation is a public document, consistent with state open meeting and public records laws. For all other educators, the regulations guarantee that any information concerning an educator's formative assessment, formative evaluation or summative evaluation is considered personnel information and is not subject to disclosure under the public records law. However, aggregate data that do not identify individual educators may be made public. ESE will also produce detailed collection guidance for the ongoing school year implementations.

The Massachusetts Education Personnel Identifier (MEPID) is used to uniquely identify an educator. ESE will require the following seven (7) data elements for each educator MEPID:

Required Data	Data Element
District Level Educator's Professional Teacher Status Educator's professional teacher status as of the end of the school year for which evaluation ratings are being reported.	Yes, No
Overall Annual Summative Evaluation or Formative Evaluation Rating Educator's current school year overall summative evaluation rating or formative evaluation rating.	Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient, Exemplary
Standard (1) Evaluation Rating Educator's current school year evaluation rating on Standard (1).	Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient, Exemplary
Standard (2) Evaluation Rating Educator's current school year evaluation rating on Standard (2).	Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient, Exemplary
Standard (3) Evaluation Rating Educator's current school year evaluation rating on Standard (3).	Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient, Exemplary
Standard (4) Evaluation Rating Educator's current school year evaluation rating on Standard (4).	Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient, Exemplary
Impact on Student Learning Growth Rating * Educator's current school year rating on impact on student learning growth.	Low, Moderate, High

* see below

Level 4 Schools: Districts with Level 4 Schools identified in spring 2010 will be required to report for their Level 4 School educators the first 6 elements tied to each educator's MEPID at the end of the 2011-2012 school year. ESE will release the data collection standards for the 2011-2012 collection for Level 4 schools in February 2012.

Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF) Schools in Boston and Springfield: Schools participating in the Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF) program have additional federal reporting requirements and will be required to report Impact on Student Learning data starting in 2011-2012. For 2011-12, the impact rating will be based on the current district defined measures and will migrate to the 603 CMR 35.00 District Determined Measures during the 2013-2014 school year.

Race to the Top Districts: Districts receiving Race to the Top funds need to be prepared to report the first 6 elements tied to an educators MEPID at the end of the 2012-2013 school year. ESE will release data collection guidance in conjunction with current data collection initiatives in a timely manner.

All other Districts: All districts will be required to report at minimum the first 6 elements tied to an educator's MEPID at the end of the 2013-2014 school year.

*Reporting Impact on Student Learning Ratings of High, Moderate and Low: With the exception noted above for Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF) schools in Boston and Springfield, no district is expected to report an Impact on Student Learning Rating until after its District Determined Measures have been identified and reviewed by ESE (by September 2013). Since, the regulations require these ratings to be based on trends and patterns of data (at least two years); many educators will not have ratings until 2014-15.

All educators should receive an Impact on Student Learning rating by the 2014-15 school year if trends and patterns of data are available.

Appendix C. Teacher and Caseload Educator Model Contract Language

Article ____

Table of Contents

- (1) Purpose of Educator Evaluation
- (2) Definitions
- (3) Evidence Used in Evaluation
- (4) Rubric
- (5) Evaluation Cycle: Training
- (6) Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation
- (7) Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment
- (8) Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Educator Plan Development
- (9) Evaluation Cycle : Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators without PTS
- (10) Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators with PTS
- (11) Observations
- (12) Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment
- (13) Evaluation Cycle : Formative Evaluation for Two-Year Self-Directed Plans Only
- (14) Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation
- (15) Educator Plans : General
- (16) Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan
- (17) Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan
- (18) Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan
- (19) Educator Plans: Improvement Plan
- (20) Timelines
- (21) Career Advancement
- (22) Rating Impact on Student Learning Growth
- (23) Using Student feedback in Educator Evaluation
- (24) Using Staff feedback in Educator Evaluation
- (25) Transition from Existing Evaluation System
- (26) General Provisions

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

1) Purpose of Educator Evaluation

- A) This contract language is locally negotiated and based on M.G.L., c.71, § 38; M.G.L. c.150E; the Educator Evaluation regulations, 603 CMR 35.00 et seq.; and the Model System for Educator Evaluation developed and which may be updated from time to time by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. See 603 CMR 35.02 (definition of model system). In the event of a conflict between this collective bargaining agreement and the governing laws and regulations, the laws and regulations will prevail.
- B) The regulatory purposes of evaluation are:
 - i) To promote student learning, growth, and achievement by providing Educators with feedback for improvement, enhanced opportunities for professional growth, and clear structures for accountability, 603 CMR 35.01(2)(a);
 - ii) To provide a record of facts and assessments for personnel decisions, 35.01(2)(b);
 - iii) To ensure that every school committee has a system to enhance the professionalism and accountability of teachers and administrators that will enable them to assist all students to perform at high levels, 35.01(3); and
 - iv) To assure effective teaching and administrative leadership, 35.01(3).

2) Definitions (* indicates definition is generally based on 603 CMR 35.02)

- A) ***Artifacts of Professional Practice:** Products of an Educator's work and student work samples that demonstrate the Educator's knowledge and skills with respect to specific performance standards.
- B) **Caseload Educator:** Educators who teach or counsel individual or small groups of students through consultation with the regular classroom teacher, for example, school nurses, guidance counselors, speech and language pathologists, and some reading specialists and special education teachers.
- C) **Classroom teacher:** Educators who teach preK-12 whole classes, and teachers of special subjects as such as art, music, library, and physical education. May also include special education teachers and reading specialists who teach whole classes.
- D) **Categories of Evidence:** Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, judgments based on observations and artifacts of professional practice, including unannounced observations of practice of any duration; and additional evidence relevant to one or more Standards of Effective Teaching Practice (603 CMR 35.03).
- E) ***District-determined Measures:** Measures of student learning, growth and achievement related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks, Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks, or other relevant frameworks, that are comparable across grade or subject level district-wide. These measures may include, but shall not be limited to: portfolios approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects.
- F) ***Educator(s):** Inclusive term that applies to all classroom teachers and caseload educators, unless otherwise noted.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- G) ***Educator Plan:** The growth or improvement actions identified as part of each Educator's evaluation. The type of plan is determined by the Educator's career stage, overall performance rating, and the rating of impact on student learning, growth and achievement. There shall be four types of Educator Plans:
- i) **Developing Educator Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator and the Evaluator for one school year or less for an Educator without Professional Teacher Status (PTS); or, at the discretion of an Evaluator, for an Educator with PTS in a new assignment.
 - ii) **Self-Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator for one or two school years for Educators with PTS who are rated proficient or exemplary.
 - iii) **Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator and the Evaluator of one school year or less for Educators with PTS who are rated needs improvement.
 - iv) **Improvement Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Evaluator of at least 30 calendar days and no more than one school year for Educators with PTS who are rated unsatisfactory with goals specific to improving the Educator's unsatisfactory performance. In those cases where an Educator is rated unsatisfactory near the close of a school year, the plan may include activities during the summer preceding the next school year.
- H) ***ESE:** The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.
- I) ***Evaluation:** The ongoing process of defining goals and identifying, gathering, and using information as part of a process to improve professional performance (the "formative evaluation" and "formative assessment") and to assess total job effectiveness and make personnel decisions (the "summative evaluation").
- J) ***Evaluator:** Any person designated by a superintendent who has primary or supervisory responsibility for observation and evaluation. The superintendent is responsible for ensuring that all Evaluators have training in the principles of supervision and evaluation. Each Educator will have one primary Evaluator at any one time responsible for determining performance ratings.
- i) **Primary Evaluator** shall be the person who determines the Educator's performance ratings and evaluation.
 - ii) **Supervising Evaluator** shall be the person responsible for developing the Educator Plan, supervising the Educator's progress through formative assessments, evaluating the Educator's progress toward attaining the Educator Plan goals, and making recommendations about the evaluation ratings to the primary Evaluator at the end of the Educator Plan. The Supervising Evaluator may be the primary Evaluator or his/her designee.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- iii) **Teaching Staff Assigned to More Than One Building:** Each Educator who is assigned to more than one building will be evaluated by the appropriate administrator where the individual is assigned most of the time. The principal of each building in which the Educator serves must review and sign the evaluation, and may add written comments. In cases where there is no predominate assignment, the superintendent will determine who the primary evaluator will be.
- iv) **Notification:** The Educator shall be notified in writing of his/her primary Evaluator and supervising Evaluator, if any, at the outset of each new evaluation cycle. The Evaluator(s) may be changed upon notification in writing to the Educator.
- K) **Evaluation Cycle:** A five-component process that all Educators follow consisting of 1) Self-Assessment; 2) Goal-setting and Educator Plan development; 3) Implementation of the Plan; 4) Formative Assessment/Evaluation; and 5) Summative Evaluation.
- L) ***Experienced Educator:** An educator with Professional Teacher Status (PTS).
- M) ***Family:** Includes students' parents, legal guardians, foster parents, or primary caregivers.
- N) ***Formative Assessment:** The process used to assess progress towards attaining goals set forth in Educator plans, performance on standards, or both. This process may take place at any time(s) during the cycle of evaluation, but typically takes place at mid-cycle.
- O) ***Formative Evaluation:** An evaluation conducted at the end of Year 1 for an Educator on a 2-year Self-Directed Growth plan which is used to arrive at a rating on progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice, or both.
- P) ***Goal:** A specific, actionable, and measurable area of improvement as set forth in an Educator's plan. A goal may pertain to any or all of the following: Educator practice in relation to Performance Standards, Educator practice in relation to indicators, or specified improvement in student learning, growth and achievement. Goals may be developed by individual Educators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Educators who have the same role.
- Q) ***Measurable:** That which can be classified or estimated in relation to a scale, rubric, or standards.
- R) **Multiple Measures of Student Learning:** Measures must include a combination of classroom, school and district assessments, student growth percentiles on state assessments, if state assessments are available, and student MEPA gain scores. This definition may be revised as required by regulations or agreement of the parties upon issuance of ESE guidance expected by July 2012.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- S) ***Observation:** A data gathering process that includes notes and judgments made during one or more classroom or worksite visits(s) of any duration by the Evaluator and may include examination of artifacts of practice including student work. An observation may occur in person or through video. Video observations will be done openly and with knowledge of the Educator. The parties agree to bargain the protocols of video observations should either party wish to adopt such practice. Classroom or worksite observations conducted pursuant to this article must result in feedback to the Educator. Normal supervisory responsibilities of department, building and district administrators will also cause administrators to drop in on classes and other activities in the worksite at various times as deemed necessary by the administrator. Carrying out these supervisory responsibilities, when they do not result in targeted and constructive feedback to the Educator, are not observations as defined in this Article.
- T) ***Parties:** The parties to this agreement are the local school committee and the employee organization that represents the Educators covered by this agreement for purposes of collective bargaining (“Employee Organization/Association”).
- U) ***Performance Rating:** Describes the Educator’s performance on each performance standard and overall. There shall be four performance ratings:
- Exemplary: the Educator’s performance consistently and significantly exceeds the requirements of a standard or overall. The rating of exemplary on a standard indicates that practice significantly exceeds proficient and could serve as a model of practice on that standard district-wide.
 - Proficient: the Educator’s performance fully and consistently meets the requirements of a standard or overall. Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory.
 - Needs Improvement: the Educator’s performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall, but is not considered to be unsatisfactory at this time. Improvement is necessary and expected.
 - Unsatisfactory: the Educator’s performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of needs improvement, or the Educator’s performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both.
- V) ***Performance Standards:** Locally developed standards and indicators pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71, § 38 and consistent with, and supplemental to 603 CMR 35.00. The parties may agree to limit standards and indicators to those set forth in 603 CMR 35.03.
- W) ***Professional Teacher Status:** PTS is the status granted to an Educator pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71, § 41.
- X) **Rating of Educator Impact on Student Learning:** A rating of high, moderate or low based on trends and patterns on state assessments and district-determined measures. The parties will negotiate the process for using state and district-determined measures to arrive at an Educator’s rating of impact on student learning, growth and achievement, using guidance and model contract language from ESE, expected by July 2012.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- Y) **Rating of Overall Educator Performance:** The Educator's overall performance rating is based on the Evaluator's professional judgment and examination of evidence of the Educator's performance against the four Performance Standards and the Educator's attainment of goals set forth in the Educator Plan, as follows:
- i) Standard 1: Curriculum, Planning and Assessment
 - ii) Standard 2: Teaching All Students
 - iii) Standard 3: Family and Community Engagement
 - iv) Standard 4: Professional Culture
 - v) Attainment of Professional Practice Goal(s)
 - vi) Attainment of Student Learning Goal(s)
- Z) ***Rubric:** A scoring tool that describes characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance. The rubrics for Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice are used to rate Educators on Performance Standards, these rubrics consists of:
- i) Standards: Describes broad categories of professional practice, including those required in 603 CMR 35.03
 - ii) Indicators: Describes aspects of each standard, including those required in 603 CMR 35.03
 - iii) Elements: Defines the individual components under each indicator
 - iv) Descriptors: Describes practice at four levels of performance for each element
- AA) ***Summative Evaluation:** An evaluation used to arrive at a rating on each standard, an overall rating, and as a basis to make personnel decisions. The summative evaluation includes the Evaluator's judgments of the Educator's performance against Performance Standards and the Educator's attainment of goals set forth in the Educator's Plan.
- BB) ***Superintendent:** The person employed by the school committee pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71 §59 and §59A. The superintendent is responsible for the implementation of 603 CMR 35.00.
- CC) ***Teacher:** An Educator employed in a position requiring a certificate or license as described in 603 CMR 7.04(3)(a, b, and d) and in the area of vocational education as provided in 603 CMR 4.00. Teachers may include, for example, classroom teachers, librarians, guidance counselors, or school nurses.
- DD) ***Trends in student learning:** At least two years of data from the district-determined measures and state assessments used in determining the Educator's rating on impact on student learning as high, moderate or low.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

3) Evidence Used In Evaluation

The following categories of evidence shall be used in evaluating each Educator:

- A) Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, which shall include:
 - i) Measures of student progress on classroom assessments that are aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or other relevant frameworks and are comparable within grades or subjects in a school;
 - ii) At least two district-determined measures of student learning related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or the Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks or other relevant frameworks that are comparable across grades and/or subjects district-wide. These measures may include: portfolios, approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects. One such measure shall be the MCAS Student Growth Percentile (SGP) or Massachusetts English Proficiency Assessment gain scores, if applicable, in which case at least two years of data is required.
 - iii) Measures of student progress and/or achievement toward student learning goals set between the Educator and Evaluator for the school year or some other period of time established in the Educator Plan.
 - iv) For Educators whose primary role is not as a classroom teacher, the appropriate measures of the Educator's contribution to student learning, growth, and achievement set by the district. The measures set by the district should be based on the Educator's role and responsibility.
- B) Judgments based on observations and artifacts of practice including:
 - i) Unannounced observations of practice of any duration.
 - ii) Announced observation(s) for non-PTS Educators in their first year of practice in a school, Educators on Improvement Plans, and as determined by the Evaluator.
 - iii) Examination of Educator work products.
 - iv) Examination of student work samples.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- C) Evidence relevant to one or more Performance Standards, including but not limited to:
 - i) Evidence compiled and presented by the Educator, including :
 - (a) Evidence of fulfillment of professional responsibilities and growth such as self-assessments, peer collaboration, professional development linked to goals in the Educator plans, contributions to the school community and professional culture;
 - (b) Evidence of active outreach to and engagement with families;
 - ii) Evidence of progress towards professional practice goal(s);
 - iii) Evidence of progress toward student learning outcomes goal(s).
 - iv) Student and Staff Feedback – see # 23-24, below; and
 - v) Any other relevant evidence from any source that the Evaluator shares with the Educator. Other relevant evidence could include information provided by other administrators such as the superintendent.

4) **Rubric**

The rubrics are a scoring tool used for the Educator's self-assessment, the formative assessment, the formative evaluation and the summative evaluation. The districts may use either the rubrics provided by ESE or comparably rigorous and comprehensive rubrics developed or adopted by the district and reviewed by ESE.

5) **Evaluation Cycle: Training**

- A) Prior to the implementation of the new evaluation process contained in this article, districts shall arrange training for all Educators, principals, and other evaluators that outlines the components of the new evaluation process and provides an explanation of the evaluation cycle. The district through the superintendent shall determine the type and quality of training based on guidance provided by ESE.
- B) By October 5th of the first year of this agreement, all Educators shall complete a professional learning activity about self-assessment and goal-setting satisfactory to the superintendent or principal. Any Educator hired after the October 5th date, and who has not previously completed such an activity, shall complete such a professional learning activity about self-assessment and goal-setting within three months of the date of hire. The district through the superintendent shall determine the type and quality of the learning activity based on guidance provided by ESE.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

6) Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation

- A) At the start of each school year, the superintendent, principal or designee shall conduct a meeting for Educators and Evaluators focused substantially on educator evaluation. The superintendent, principal or designee shall:
 - i) Provide an overview of the evaluation process, including goal setting and the educator plans.
 - ii) Provide all Educators with directions for obtaining a copy of the forms used by the district. These may be electronically provided.
 - iii) The faculty meeting may be digitally recorded to facilitate orientation of Educators hired after the beginning of the school year.

7) Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment

- A) Completing the Self-Assessment
 - i) The evaluation cycle begins with the Educator completing and submitting to the Primary or Supervising Evaluator a self-assessment by October 1st or within four weeks of the start of their employment at the school.
 - ii) The self-assessment includes:
 - (a) An analysis of evidence of student learning, growth and achievement for students under the Educator's responsibility
 - (b) An assessment of practice against each of the four Performance Standards of effective practice using the district's rubric.
 - (c) Proposed goals to pursue:
 - (1st) At least one goal directly related to improving the Educator's own professional practice.
 - (2nd) At least one goal directed related to improving student learning.
- B) Proposing the goals
 - i) Educators must consider goals for grade-level, subject-area, department teams, or other groups of Educators who share responsibility for student learning and results, except as provided in (ii) below. Educators may meet with teams to consider establishing team goals. Evaluators may participate in such meetings.
 - ii) For Educators in their first year of practice, the Evaluator or his/her designee will meet with each Educator by October 1st (or within four weeks of the Educator's first day of employment if the Educator begins employment after September 15th) to assist the Educator in completing the self-assessment and drafting the professional practice and student learning goals which must include induction and mentoring activities.
 - iii) Unless the Evaluator indicates that an Educator in his/her second or third years of practice should continue to address induction and mentoring goals pursuant to

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

603 CMR 7.12, the Educator may address shared grade level or subject area team goals.

- iv) For Educators with PTS and ratings of proficient or exemplary, the goals may be team goals. In addition, these Educators may include individual professional practice goals that address enhancing skills that enable the Educator to share proficient practices with colleagues or develop leadership skills.
- v) For Educators with PTS and ratings of needs improvement or unsatisfactory, the professional practice goal(s) must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement. In addition, the goals may address shared grade level or subject area team goals.

8) **Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Development of the Educator Plan**

- A) Every Educator has an Educator Plan that includes, but is not limited to, one goal related to the improvement of practice; one goal for the improvement of student learning. The Plan also outlines actions the Educator must take to attain the goals established in the Plan and benchmarks to assess progress. Goals may be developed by individual Educators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Educators who have the similar roles and/or responsibilities. See Sections 15-19 for more on Educator Plans.
- B) To determine the goals to be included in the Educator Plan, the Evaluator reviews the goals the Educator has proposed in the Self-Assessment, using evidence of Educator performance and impact on student learning, growth and achievement based on the Educator's self-assessment and other sources that Evaluator shares with the Educator. The process for determining the Educator's impact on student learning, growth and achievement will be determined after ESE issues guidance on this matter. See #22, below.
- C) Educator Plan Development Meetings shall be conducted as follows:
 - i) Educators in the same school may meet with the Evaluator in teams and/or individually at the end of the previous evaluation cycle or by October 15th of the next academic year to develop their Educator Plan. Educators shall not be expected to meet during the summer hiatus.
 - ii) For those Educators new to the school,(ie: Voluntary or involuntary transfer within the district) the meeting with the Evaluator to establish the Educator Plan must occur by October 15th or within six weeks of the start of their assignment in that school
 - iii) The Evaluator shall meet individually with Educators with PTS and ratings of needs improvement or unsatisfactory to develop professional practice goal(s) that must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement. In addition, the goals may address shared grade level or subject matter goals.
- D) The Evaluator completes the Educator Plan by November 1st. The Educator shall sign the Educator Plan within 5 school days of its receipt and may include a written response. The Educator's signature indicates that the Educator received the plan in a timely

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents. The Evaluator retains final authority over the content of the Educator's Plan.

9) **Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators without PTS**

- A) In the first year of practice or first year assigned to a school:
 - i) The Educator shall have at least one announced observation during the school year using the protocol described in section 11B, below.
 - ii) The Educator shall have at least four unannounced observations during the school year.
- B) In their second and third years of practice or second and third years as a non-PTS Educator in the school:
 - i) The Educator shall have at least three unannounced observations during the school year.

10) **Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators with PTS**

- A) The Educator whose overall rating is proficient or exemplary must have at least one unannounced observation during the evaluation cycle.
- B) The Educator whose overall rating is needs improvement must be observed according to the Directed Growth Plan during the period of Plan which must include at least two unannounced observations.
- C) The Educator whose overall rating is unsatisfactory must be observed according to the Improvement Plan which must include both unannounced and announced observation. The number and frequency of the observations shall be determined by the Evaluator, but in no case, for improvement plans of one year, shall there be fewer than one announced and four unannounced observations. For Improvement Plans of six months or fewer, there must be no fewer than one announced and two unannounced observations.

11) **Observations**

The Evaluator's first observation of the Educator should take place by November 15. Observations required by the Educator Plan should be completed by May 15th. The Evaluator may conduct additional observations after this date.

The Evaluator is not required nor expected to review all the indicators in a rubric during an observation. All observations will last for a minimum of 10 minutes.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- A) Unannounced Observations
- i) Unannounced observations may be in the form of partial or full-period classroom visitations, Instructional Rounds, Walkthroughs, Learning Walks, or any other means deemed useful by the Evaluator, principal, superintendent or other administrator. These unannounced observations will last for a minimum of 10 minutes.
 - ii) The Educator will be provided with at least brief written feedback from the Evaluator within 3-5 school days of the observation. The written feedback shall be delivered to the Educator in person, by email, through the adopted electronic tool and/or placed in the Educator's school mailbox.
 - iii) Any observation or series of observations resulting in one or more standards judged to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement for the first time must be followed by at least one observation of at least 30 minutes in duration within 30 school days.
- B) Announced Observations
- i) All non-PTS Educators in their first year in the school, PTS Educators on Improvement Plans and other educators at the discretion of the evaluator shall have at least one Announced Observation.
 - (a) The Evaluator shall select the date and time of the lesson or activity to be observed and discuss with the Educator any specific goal(s) for the observation.
 - (b) Within 5 school days of the scheduled observation, upon request of either the Evaluator or Educator, the Evaluator and Educator shall meet for a pre-observation conference. In lieu of a meeting, the Educator may inform the Evaluator in writing of the nature of the lesson, the student population served, and any other information that will assist the Evaluator to assess performance
 - (1st) The Educator shall provide the Evaluator a draft of the lesson, student conference, IEP plan or activity. If the actual plan is different, the Educator will provide the Evaluator with a copy prior to the observation.
 - (2nd) The Educator will be notified as soon as possible if the Evaluator will not be able to attend the scheduled observation. The observation will be rescheduled with the Educator as soon as reasonably practical.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- (c) Within 5 school days of the observation, the Evaluator and Educator shall meet for a post-observation conference. This timeframe may be extended due to unavailability on the part of either the Evaluator or the Educator, but shall be rescheduled within 24 hours if possible.
- (d) The Evaluator shall provide the Educator with written feedback within 5 school days of the post-observation conference. For any standard where the Educator's practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:
 - (1st) Describe the basis for the Evaluator's judgment.
 - (2nd) Describe actions the Educator should take to improve his/her performance.
 - (3rd) Identify support and/or resources the Educator may use in his/her improvement.
 - (4th) State that the Educator is responsible for addressing the need for improvement.

12) Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment

- A) A specific purpose for evaluation is to promote student learning, growth and achievement by providing Educators with feedback for improvement. Evaluators are expected to make frequent unannounced visits to classrooms. Evaluators are expected to give targeted constructive feedback to Educators based on their observations of practice, examination of artifacts, and analysis of multiple measures of student learning, growth and achievement in relation to the Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice.
- B) Formative Assessment may be ongoing throughout the evaluation cycle but typically takes place mid-cycle when a Formative Assessment report is completed. For an Educator on a two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan, the mid-cycle Formative Assessment report is replaced by the Formative Evaluation report at the end of year one. See section 13, below.
- C) The Formative Assessment report provides written feedback and ratings to the Educator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Performance Standards and overall, or both
- D) No less than two weeks before the due date for the Formative Assessment report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice to the Educator, the Educator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The educator may provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the educator's performances against the four Performance Standards.
- E) Upon the request of either the Evaluator or the Educator, the Evaluator and the Educator will meet either before or after completion of the Formative Assessment Report.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- F) The Evaluator shall complete the Formative Assessment report and provide a copy to the Educator. All Formative Assessment reports must be signed by the Evaluator and delivered face-to-face, by email or through the adopted electronic tool and/or placed in the Educator's school mailbox .
 - G) The Educator may reply in writing to the Formative Assessment report within 5 school days of receiving the report.
 - H) The Educator shall sign the Formative Assessment report by within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Formative Assessment report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
 - I) As a result of the Formative Assessment Report, the Evaluator may change the activities in the Educator Plan.
 - J) If the rating in the Formative Assessment report differs from the last summative rating the Educator received, the Evaluator may place the Educator on a different Educator Plan, appropriate to the new rating.
- 13) **Evaluation Cycle: Formative Evaluation for Two Year Self-Directed Plans Only**
- A) Educators on two year Self-Directed Growth Educator Plans receive a Formative Evaluation report near the end of the first year of the two year cycle. The Educator's performance rating for that year shall be assumed to be the same as the previous summative rating unless evidence demonstrates a significant change in performance in which case the rating on the performance standards may change, and the Evaluator may place the Educator on a different Educator plan, appropriate to the new rating.
 - B) The Formative Evaluation report provides written feedback and ratings to the Educator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on each performance standard and overall, or both.
 - C) No less than two weeks before the due date for the Formative Evaluation report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice provided to the Educator, the Educator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The educator may also provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the educator's performance against the four Performance Standards.
 - D) The Evaluator shall complete the Formative Evaluation report and provide a copy to the Educator. All Formative Evaluation reports must be signed by the Evaluator and delivered face-to-face, by email or to the Educator's school mailbox or home.
 - E) Upon the request of either the Evaluator or the Educator, the Evaluator and the Educator will meet either before or after completion of the Formative Evaluation Report.
 - F) The Educator may reply in writing to the Formative Evaluation report within 5 school days of receiving the report.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- G) The Educator shall sign the Formative Evaluation report by within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Formative Evaluation report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
- H) As a result of the Formative Evaluation report, the Evaluator may change the activities in the Educator Plan.
- I) If the rating in the Formative Evaluation report differs from the last summative rating the Educator received, the Evaluator may place the Educator on a different Educator Plan, appropriate to the new rating. (Example: Underperformance)

14) **Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation**

- A) The evaluation cycle concludes with a summative evaluation report. For Educators on a one or two year Educator Plan, the summative report must be written and provided to the educator by May 15th.
- B) The Evaluator determines a rating on each standard and an overall rating based on the Evaluator's professional judgment, an examination of evidence against the Performance Standards and evidence of the attainment of the Educator Plan goals.
- C) The professional judgment of the primary evaluator shall determine the overall summative rating that the Educator receives.
- D) For an educator whose overall performance rating is exemplary or proficient and whose impact on student learning is low, the evaluator's supervisor shall discuss and review the rating with the evaluator and the supervisor shall confirm or revise the educator's rating. In cases where the superintendent serves as the primary evaluator, the superintendent's decision on the rating shall not be subject to review.
- E) The summative evaluation rating must be based on evidence from multiple categories of evidence. MCAS Growth scores shall not be the sole basis for a summative evaluation rating.
- F) To be rated proficient overall, the Educator shall, at a minimum, have been rated proficient on the Curriculum, Planning and Assessment and the Teaching All Students Standards of Effective Teaching Practice.
- G) No less than four weeks before the due date for the Summative Evaluation report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice provided to the Educator, the Educator will provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The educator may also provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the educator's performance against the four Performance Standards.
- H) The Summative Evaluation report should recognize areas of strength as well as identify recommendations for professional growth.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- I) The Evaluator shall deliver a signed copy of the Summative Evaluation report to the Educator face-to-face, by email, through the adopted electronic tool and/or placed in the Educator's school mailbox no later than May 15th.
 - J) The Evaluator shall meet with the Educator rated needs improvement or unsatisfactory to discuss the summative evaluation. The meeting shall occur no later than five school days after May 15th.
 - K) The Evaluator may meet with the Educator rated proficient or exemplary to discuss the summative evaluation, if either the Educator or the Evaluator requests such a meeting. The meeting shall occur by June 10th.
 - L) Upon mutual agreement, the Educator and the Evaluator may develop the Self-Directed Growth Plan for the following two years during the meeting on the Summative Evaluation report.
 - M) The Educator shall sign the final Summative Evaluation report by June 15th. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Summative Evaluation report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
 - N) The Educator shall have the right to respond in writing to the summative evaluation which shall become part of the final Summative Evaluation report.
 - O) A copy of the signed final Summative Evaluation report shall be filed in the Educator's personnel file.
- 15) **Educator Plans – General**
- A) Educator Plans shall be designed to provide Educators with feedback for improvement, professional growth, and leadership; and to ensure Educator effectiveness and overall system accountability. The Plan must be aligned to the standards and indicators and be consistent with district and school goals.
 - B) The Educator Plan shall include, but is not limited to:
 - i) At least one goal related to improvement of practice tied to one or more Performance Standards;
 - ii) At least one goal for the improvement the learning, growth and achievement of the students under the Educator's responsibility;
 - iii) An outline of actions the Educator must take to attain the goals and benchmarks to assess progress. Actions must include specified professional development and learning activities that the Educator will participate in as a means of obtaining the goals, as well as other support that may be suggested by the Evaluator or provided by the school or district. Examples may include but are not limited to coursework, self-study, action research, curriculum development, study groups with peers, and implementing new programs.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- C) It is the Educator's responsibility to attain the goals in the Plan and to participate in any trainings and professional development provided through the state, district, or other providers in accordance with the Educator Plan.
- 16) **Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan**
- A) The Developing Educator Plan is for all Educators without PTS, and, at the discretion of the Evaluator, Educators with PTS in new assignments.
- B) The Educator shall be evaluated at least annually.
- 17) **Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan**
- A) A Two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS who have an overall rating of proficient or exemplary, and after 2013-2014 whose impact on student learning is moderate or high. A formative evaluation report is completed at the end of year 1 and a summative evaluation report at the end of year 2.
- B) A One-year Self-Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS who have an overall rating of proficient or exemplary, and after 2013-2014 whose impact on student learning is low. In this case, the Evaluator and Educator shall analyze the discrepancy between the summative evaluation rating and the rating for impact on student learning to seek to determine the cause(s) of the discrepancy.
- 18) **Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan**
- A) A Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS whose overall rating is needs improvement.
- B) The goals in the Plan must address areas identified as needing improvement as determined by the Evaluator.
- C) The Evaluator shall complete a summative evaluation for the Educator at the end of the period determined by the Plan, but at least annually, and in no case later than June 1st.
- D) For an Educator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is at least proficient, the Evaluator will place the Educator on a Self-Directed Growth Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.
- E) For an Educator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is not at least proficient, the Evaluator will rate the Educator as unsatisfactory and will place the Educator on an Improvement Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.
- 19) **Educator Plans: Improvement Plan**
- A) An Improvement Plan is for those Educators with PTS whose overall rating is unsatisfactory.
- B) The parties agree that in order to provide students with the best instruction, it may be necessary from time to time to place an Educator whose practice has been rated as

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

unsatisfactory on an Improvement Plan of no fewer than 30 calendar days and no more than one school year. In the case of an Educator receiving a rating of unsatisfactory near the close of one school year, the Improvement Plan may include activities that occur during the summer before the next school year begins.

- C) The Evaluator must complete a summative evaluation for the Educator at the end of the period determined by the Evaluator for the Plan.
- D) An Educator on an Improvement Plan shall be assigned a Supervising Evaluator (see definitions). The Supervising Evaluator is responsible for providing the Educator with guidance and assistance in accessing the resources and professional development outlined in the Improvement Plan. The primary evaluator may be the Supervising Evaluator.
- E) The Improvement Plan shall define the problem(s) of practice identified through the observations and evaluation and detail the improvement goals to be met, the activities the Educator must take to improve and the assistance to be provided to the Educator by the district.
- F) The Improvement Plan process shall include:
 - i) Within ten school days of notification to the Educator that the Educator is being placed on an Improvement Plan, the Evaluator shall schedule a meeting with the Educator to discuss the Improvement Plan. The Evaluator will develop the Improvement Plan, which will include the provision of specific assistance to the Educator.
 - ii) The Educator may request that a representative of the Employee Organization/Association attend the meeting(s).
 - iii) If the Educator consents, the Employee Organization/Association will be informed that an Educator has been placed on an Improvement Plan.
- G) The Improvement Plan shall:
 - i) Define the improvement goals directly related to the performance standard(s) and/or student learning outcomes that must be improved;
 - ii) Describe the activities and work products the Educator must complete as a means of improving performance;
 - iii) Describe the assistance that the district will make available to the Educator;
 - iv) Articulate the measurable outcomes that will be accepted as evidence of improvement;
 - v) Detail the timeline for completion of each component of the Plan, including at a minimum a mid-cycle formative assessment report of the relevant standard(s) and indicator(s);
 - vi) Identify the individuals assigned to assist the Educator which must include minimally the Supervising Evaluator; and,
 - vii) Include the signatures of the Educator and Supervising Evaluator.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- H) A copy of the signed Plan shall be provided to the Educator. The Educator's signature indicates that the Educator received the Improvement Plan in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
- I) Decision on the Educator's status at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan.
 - i) All determinations below must be made no later than June 1. One of three decisions must be made at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan:
 - (a) If the Evaluator determines that the Educator has improved his/her practice to the level of proficiency, the Educator will be placed on a Self-Directed Growth Plan.
 - (b) In those cases where the Educator was placed on an Improvement Plan as a result of his/her summative rating at the end of his/her Directed Growth Plan, if the Evaluator determines that the Educator is making substantial progress toward proficiency, the Evaluator shall place the Educator on a Directed Growth Plan.
 - (c) In those cases where the Educator was placed on an Improvement Plan as a result of his/her Summative rating at the end of his/her Directed Growth Plan, if the Evaluator determines that the Educator is not at Needs Improvement or better, the Evaluator shall recommend to the superintendent that the Educator be dismissed.
 - (d) If the Evaluator determines that the Educator's practice remains at the level of unsatisfactory, the Evaluator shall recommend to the superintendent that the Educator be dismissed.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

20. New Model Teacher Evaluation Time-line

Non-Professional Status Educators and Professional Status Educators on One Year Plans

Activity:	Completed By:
1. System-wide and school-based initiatives distributed to educators: *Strategic Plan (Priority System-wide Initiatives) *School Improvement Plan *District Curriculum Accommodation Plan *DESE Rubric	End of 1st week of school
2. List of evaluator / educator with primary evaluator and other observers (including supervising evaluator)	End of 1st week of school
3. Professional Development – Self Assessment	September PD date as determined by calendar
4. Evaluative observations based on rubrics may begin during 2nd full week of school	
5. Superintendent, principal or designee meets with evaluators and educators to explain evaluation process	September 15
6. Evaluator meets with educators to assist in self-assessment and goal setting process.	October 1
7. Professional Development –Smart Goals and Educator Plan	October PD date as determined by calendar
8. Educator submits self-assessment , proposed goals and educator plans to evaluator	October 15
9. Evaluator meets with educators in teams or individually to review Educator Plans and to provide an organizational chart of evaluators and their supervisors. After the initial first year cycle, Educator Plans may be established at Summative Evaluation Report meeting in prior year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Within 5 days, the educator may submit a written response b. With 5 days from receipt of the educators’ response, the evaluator approves the Educator Plan 	October 30

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

Activity:	
10. Evaluator should complete first evaluative observation of each educator with written feedback to educator within 5 days of the observation	November 15
11. Educator submits evidence on parent outreach, professional growth, progress on goals (and other standards, if desired) * or 4 weeks before Formative Assessment Report date established by Evaluator	January 5* or 4 weeks before formative assessment report date
12. Evaluator should complete mid-cycle Formative Assessment Reports for educators on one-year Educator Plans	February 1
13. Professional Development –Mid-Year Review of SMART Goals	February PD date as determined by calendar
14. Evaluator holds Formative Assessment Meetings if requested by either evaluator or educator	February 15
15. Educator submits any additional evidence on parent outreach, professional growth, progress on goals (and other standards, if desired) *or 4 weeks prior to Summative Evaluation Report date established by evaluator	April 20* or 4 weeks before summative assessment report date
16. Evaluator submits Summative Evaluation Report and meets with educators whose overall Summative Evaluation rating is Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory Within 5 days the educator submits the signed evaluation document and may also submit a written response	May 15
17. Evaluator submits Summative Evaluation Report to educators whose Summative Evaluation rating is Proficient or Exemplary. A meeting may occur, at the request of the evaluator or educator Within 5 days the educator submits the signed evaluation document and may also submit a written response	June 1

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

*Any educator hired after October 1st and who has not previously completed such an activity, shall complete such a professional learning activity about self-assessment and goal setting within three (3) months of the date of hire.

**Educators on Plans of Less than One Year:

The timeline for educators on Plans of less than one year will be established in the Educator Plan

**The reference to days means school days

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

Professional Status Educators on Two Year Plans

<u>Activity:</u>	<u>Completed By:</u>
1. System-wide and school-based initiatives distributed to educators: *Strategic Plan (Priority System-wide Initiatives) *School Improvement Plan *District Curriculum Accommodation Plan *DESE Rubric	End of 1st week of school
2. List of evaluator / educator with primary evaluator and other observers (including supervising evaluator)	End of 1st week of school
3. Professional Development – Self Assessment - Year 1 Mid Cycle Review and/or Data Analysis-Year 2	September PD date as determined by calendar
4. Evaluative observations based on rubrics may begin during 2nd full week of school	
5. Superintendent, principal or designee meets with evaluators and educators to explain evaluation process	September 15
6. Evaluator meets with educators in Year 1 of their evaluation cycle to assist in self-assessment and goal setting process.	October 1
7. Professional Development –Smart Goals and Educator Plans – Year 1 Continued Mid Cycle Review and/or Data Analysis – Year 2	October PD date as determined by calendar
8. Educator in Year 1 of their evaluation cycle submits self-assessment , proposed goals and educator plans to evaluator If necessary, Educator in Year 2 of their evaluation cycle reviews self-assessment, proposed goals and educator plans with evaluator	October 15
9. Evaluator meets with Educators in teams or individually to review Educator Plans and to provide an organizational chart of the Evaluators and their Supervisors. After the initial 2 year cycle, Educator Plan may be established at Summative Evaluation Report meeting in prior year. a. Within 5 days , the educator may submit a written response b. With 5 days from receipt of the educators’ response , the evaluator approves the Educator Plan	October 30

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

Activity:	
10. Evaluator should complete first evaluative observation of each Educator in Year 1 with written feedback to educator within 5 days of the observation	November 15
11. Professional Development –Mid-Year Review of SMART Goals –Year 1 Smart Goals and/or Data Analysis – Year 2	February PD date as determined by calendar
12. Evaluator holds Evaluative Assessment Meeting if requested by either Evaluator or Educator	February 15
13. Educator submits evidence on parent outreach, professional growth, progress on goals (and other standards, if desired) *or 4 weeks prior to Year 1 Formative or Year 2 Summative Evaluation Report date established by evaluator	April 20* or 4 weeks before summative assessment report date
14. Evaluator submits Year 2 Summative Evaluation Report and meets with Educators whose overall rating is Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory Within 5 days the educator submits the signed evaluation document and may also submit a written response	May 15
15. Evaluator submits Year 1 Formative Evaluation Report and meets with Educator Within 5 days the educator submits the signed Evaluation Report and may also submit a written response	June 1 Year 1 Evaluation Cycle
16. Evaluator submits Year 2 Summative Evaluation Report to Educators whose ratings are Proficient or Exemplary. A meeting may occur at the request of Evaluator or Educator Within 5 days the educator submits the signed Evaluation Report and may also submit a written response	June 1 Year 2 Evaluation Cycle

*Any educator hired after October 1st and who has not previously completed such an activity, shall complete such a professional learning activity about self-assessment and goal setting within three (3) months of the date of hire.

**Educators on Plans of Less than One Year:

The timeline for educators on Plans of less than one year will be established in the Educator Plan

**The reference to days means school days

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

21. Career Advancement

- A) In order to attain Professional Teacher Status, the Educator should achieve ratings of proficient or exemplary on each Performance Standard and overall. A principal considering making an employment decision that would lead to PTS for any Educator who has not been rated proficient or exemplary on each performance standard and overall on the most recent evaluation shall confer with the superintendent by May 1. The principal's decision is subject to review and approval by the superintendent.
- B) In order to qualify to apply for a teacher leader position, the Educator must have had a Summative Evaluation performance rating of proficient or exemplary for at least the previous two years.
- C) Educators with PTS whose summative performance rating is exemplary and, after 2013-14 whose impact on student learning is rated moderate or high, shall be recognized and rewarded with leadership roles, promotions, additional compensation, public commendation or other acknowledgement as determined by the district through collective bargaining where applicable.

22. Rating Impact on Student Learning Growth

ESE will provide model contract language and guidance on rating educator impact on student learning growth based on state and district-determined measures of student learning by July 15, 2012. Upon receiving this model contract language and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

23. Using Student feedback in Educator Evaluation

ESE will provide model contract language, direction and guidance on using student feedback in Educator Evaluation by June 30, 2013. Upon receiving this model contract language, direction and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

24. Using Staff feedback in Educator Evaluation

ESE will provide model contract language, direction and guidance on using staff feedback in Administrator Evaluation by June 30, 2013. Upon receiving this model contract language, direction and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

25. Transition from Existing Evaluation System

- A) The parties may agree that 50% or more of Educators in the district will be evaluated under the new procedures at the outset of this Agreement, and 50% or fewer will be evaluated under the former evaluation procedures for the first year of implementation of the new procedures in this Agreement.

Appendix C: Teacher and Caseload Educator Contract

- B) The parties shall agree on a process for identifying the Educator Plan that each Educator will be placed on during the Educator's first year being evaluated under the new procedures, providing that Educators who have received ratings of unsatisfactory or its equivalent in the prior year will be placed on Self-Directed Growth or Improvement Plans at the sole discretion of the Superintendent.
- C) The parties agree that every teacher who is scheduled to be evaluated in the 2012-2013 school year will be evaluated using the new model, with the following exceptions:
 - If a school needs more teachers to reach the 50% threshold, the principal will ask for volunteers; if there are not enough volunteers, names will be drawn from a "hat"
 - If a school needs fewer teachers, names will be drawn from a "hat", in a process which equalizes distribution among departments
- D) The existing evaluation system will remain in effect until the provisions set forth in this Article are implemented. The relevant timeframe for adopting and implementing new systems is set forth in 603 CMR 35.11(1).

26. General Provisions

- A) Only Educators who are licensed may serve as primary evaluators of Educators.
- B) Evaluators shall not make negative comments about the Educator's performance, or comments of a negative evaluative nature, in the presence of students, parents or other staff, except in the unusual circumstance where the Evaluator concludes that s/he must immediately and directly intervene. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to limit an administrator's ability to investigate a complaint, or secure assistance to support an Educator.
- C) The superintendent shall insure that Evaluators have training in supervision and evaluation, including the regulations and standards and indicators of effective teaching practice promulgated by ESE (35.03), and the evaluation Standards and Procedures established in this Agreement.
- D) Should there be a serious disagreement between the Educator and the Evaluator regarding an overall summative performance rating of unsatisfactory, the Educator may meet with the Evaluator's supervisor to discuss the disagreement. Should the Educator request such a meeting, the Evaluator's supervisor must meet with the Educator. The Evaluator may attend any such meeting at the discretion of the superintendent. The Educator has the right to be represented by the Association.
- E) The parties agree to establish a joint labor-management evaluation team which shall review the evaluation processes and procedures annually through the first three years of implementation and recommend adjustments to the parties.
- F) Violations of this article are subject to the grievance and arbitration procedures. The arbitrator shall determine whether there was substantial compliance with the totality of the evaluation process. When the evaluation process results in the termination or non-renewal of an Educator, then no financial remedy or reinstatement shall issue if there was substantial compliance.

